

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_114071
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbial Prevalence in Soil Water in the River Deltas of the World
Type of the Article	Review Article

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory REVISION comments</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is pertinent for the scientific community because it emphasizes the role of microorganisms in maintaining the equilibrium of various river deltas. Consequently, the manuscript highlights their crucial functions in nutrient cycling, decomposition, biological diversity, and water quality.</li> <li>2. The title aligns well with the manuscript's content.</li> <li>3. While the abstract provides a concise overview of the review, there is a need for additional information to be included.</li> <li>4. The current subsections are acceptable, but they require more specificity and additional details.</li> <li>5. Yes, I agree.</li> <li>6. Generally, the references are acceptable, but there is a need for consistency throughout the entire document.</li> <li>7. Additional comments: The manuscript appears unbalanced concerning information, conclusions, and the number of references. The body of the review spans only 8 pages, while there are 15 pages dedicated solely to references. Although the abstract and conclusions mention that microbes play a role in antigen and antibiotic activities, this information lacks proper documentation and discussion throughout the document. The soil microbes and aquatic microbes sections lack necessary details. To enhance the review, consider incorporating a comparative table with quantitative data across different delta rivers. For instance: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) When referring to an "abundant microbial population," specify the abundance.</li> <li>b) Clarify the type of "climate changes" and their range.</li> <li>c) Identify the specific "soil contaminants."</li> </ol> </li> </ol> <p>Moreover, include additional information related to the impact of pH, salinity, humidity, temperature range, etc., on microorganism diversity, presenting numerical values where applicable.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor REVISION comments</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>Yes, the grammar and coherence are appropriate for English communication.</p>	

<b>Optional/General comments</b>	a) Ensure that the spacing between paragraphs is accurate and consistent throughout the document. b) Salmonella instead of Salmone,lla. c) Adjust the spacing for references consistently across the entire document. d) Font size needs to be checked e) Correct year instead of yea(156)r f) Celsius must be in C instead of c	
----------------------------------	---	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Said Toro Uribe</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Food, Agricultural, and Environmental Sciences, The Ohio State University , United States</b>