

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_115301
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Variability, Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis in Bottle Gourd ( <i>Lagenaria siceraria</i> (Molina) Standl.) Genotypes
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community specifically for the field of Agricultural/Horticultural science with special notes to crop development programme.</p> <p>Title of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>The abstract of the manuscript is comprehensive.</p> <p>Appropriate</p> <p>Scientifically correct &amp; sound.</p> <p>sufficient</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>suitable</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is meaningfully &amp; lucidly well written, scientifically robust, well &amp; adequately referenced and technically sound and free from plagiarisms. Standard experimental methodology was also used. Hence it is recommended to accept the manuscript as it is.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Ujjal Kumar Pati</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>