

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_114927
Title of the Manuscript:	DESIGN AND TECHNO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF AN IMPROVED MULTIPURPOSE COOKER STOVE.
Type of the Article	Research articles

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>1. The abstract repeats the same sentence without providing a technical justification.</p> <p>2. The introduction should contain the most important information before the literature review, and the aim of the work should be added at the end of the paragraph. Unnecessary heading of the objective, etc.</p> <p>3. In the literature review contained the more information but no technical point instruction.</p> <p>4. Findings and conversations, no need to perform mathematical computations in the outcome.</p> <p>5. Although there is more information in the manuscript, it is not organized according to the guidelines for journal papers.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S Babu Sasi Kumar
Department, University & Country	Kings Engineering College, India