

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_115419</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Harnessing the beneficial fungus Piriformospora indica for climate resilient crop production: A Review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b></p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes. It is important to increase productivity of crops.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes. It is short and clear</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>4. No. As indicated in the title, more focus shall be given to the tolerance to abiotic and biotic factors in separate sections. In addition emphasis should be given for adverse climatic conditions such as heat, salinity, drought &amp; and biotic stress. Separate subsections should be given for all and shall be discussed thoroughly.</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Not much. The reference section is too much. Nine pages reference and 9 pages main body which is not generally acceptable. Otherwise the references are recent and enough.</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION comments</b></p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes. But avoid same styles in refercing.eg. as Mr X suggested. As Mr X noted. As Mr X observed. See Introduction 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph last statement.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General comments</b></p>	<p>1. In the introduction section, paragraph 2, how does a reference of 2015 could be called as Recent?</p> <p>2. Many scientific names of Fungi and crops not italicized. This is serious.</p> <p>3. Some quantitative data are needed, for instance increase yield and biomass section needs data from papers. For instance by how much the fungi increased these two parameters. It will be more persuasive.</p> <p>4. The title and the components/subsections must be seen again.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No any	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zewdu Teshome Awlachew</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Gondar, Ethiopia</b>