

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_115027
Title of the Manuscript:	AVIFAUNAL DIVERSITY IN TELANGANA STATE: A CASE STUDY IN CAMPUS OF PROFESSOR JAYASHANKAR TELANGANA STATE AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY, RAJENDRANAGAR, HYDERABAD
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The manuscript includes a detailed analysis of local avifauna. It is a long-term study, the basis of the manuscript is 10 years of observations. This manuscript will be interesting for scientific community.</li> <li>Yes, the title describes the main ideas of the manuscript.</li> <li>The abstract is a complete summary of the manuscript. There are some recommendations in the text of revised manuscript.</li> <li>The structure of the manuscript seems appropriate.</li> <li>I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</li> <li>The reference list seems sufficient. The reference list includes recent publications.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>I'm not native English speaking. In my opinion, language quality is good. There are a lot of lost backspaces in the text, but it have to be a result of "Word *.docx" to "Word *.doc" transformation. I tried to correct this mistake in the text.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is interesting. Unfortunately, there are no information about abundance of birds in any comparable units, e.g. "birds/sq.km".</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sergey Simonov
Department, University & Country	Institute of Biology, Karelian Research Centre of Russian Academy of Sciences, Russia