

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_115168
Title of the Manuscript:	Seasonal effects on the potential of three dual-purpose cowpeas varieties seeds and fodder production in Sahelian sandy soil of Niger
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This manuscript is provided better understanding the effect of season on pod, seed, and haulms and the results shows variation in between the season and also there was a difference in response of the plant morphology for example the pod and seed yields during the cold dry season were on average higher than those of the rainy season. During the rainy season, the varieties produced more haulms than in the cold dry season so as general this kind of information is important in the scientific community</p> <p>Not suitable , it is better like the following Seasonal effects on performance three dual-purpose cowpeas varieties production in Sahelian sandy soil of Niger</p> <p>Yes it is comprehensive</p> <p>Yes, It is appropriate</p> <p>I like short aimed papers and from my point of view it is an interesting topic, but the elaboration is poor and also the statistical tools used for data analysis is weak.</p> <p>Since the result and discussion part is very shallow number of reference is also few so expanding The discussion and adding some recent reference is very important</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>It is interesting topic and the data collected are perhaps good, but the elaboration is not clear with many strange points in the text. Introduction, result and discussion part is very shallow so it needs some improvements; I recommended this manuscript for publication after minor revision.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mulugeta Tilahun Keno
Department, University & Country	Mattu University, Ethiopia