

**Review Form1.7**

JournalName:	<b>InternationalJournalof Plant&amp;SoilScience</b>
ManuscriptNumber:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_114755</b>
TitleoftheManuscript:	<b>EffectsofSlope Position onSoilPhysicochemicalPropertiesofCultivatedLandUseTypeinDanka Watershed of DinshoDistrict,Bale Highland,Oromia,SoutheastEthiopia</b>
TypeoftheArticle	

**Review Form1.7**

**PART1:ReviewComments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The article presents a topic of great interest and it has scientific merit.</p> <p>2. The title is adequate. It describes in a concise and clear way the matter of study. Besides, it locates the reader exactly on the subject</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive, clear and concise.</p> <p>4. Yes, they are.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is absolutely interesting. I suggest the application of some multivariate technique. Since these techniques attempt to collect the true structure presented in the data. Thus, the conclusion would become more complete than those obtained by the application of univariate statistical analyses.</p> <p>6. The references are adequate and pertinent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>María Susana Vitelleschi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Nacional de Rosario, Argentina</b>