

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_114789
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the physical and thermal properties of fibers extracted from the trunks of Urena lobata and Sida Stipulata plants for their use in the reinforcement of thermal insulation materials.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Title could be shortened</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, Conclusion is not numbered</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No,it has to be improved.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The scientific aspect of manuscript is interesting but way of writing has to be improved.</p> <p>English language grammar and spelling has to be corrected throughout the manuscript.</p> <p>Ex: Table 1 "Authors" is mis-spelled as "Autors"</p> <p>kg/m3 should be written as kg/m³</p> <p>Fig 4 axis title Time (mn) should be Time (min)</p> <p>How can Time start with -10</p> <p>Discuss in detail % of difference in the density based on Table 3</p> <p>"Secondly, it can be seen from Fig. 5 that Stipulata sida fibers showed better thermal conductivity values than Urena Lobata fibers."</p> <p>How much improved , provided quantitative data</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Mohanapriya
Department, University & Country	India