

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_114875
Title of the Manuscript:	Expert opinion on the usage of dabigatran in clinical conditions in Indian settings
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- The present study shows the positive experience of experts in the use of dabigatran, which can be used to detect the occurrence of clots in patients, including patients with major surgeries such as joint replacement.</p> <p>2- The title is not clear. Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title. Mention the type of study in the title or abstract. Suggested title: Expert Opinion on the Use of dabigatran for Stroke Prevention in the Primary Care Setting in India: A Cross-sectional study</p> <p>3- Write a brief introduction about the research and then write the purpose of the study. The type of study in the abstract should be modified to a cross-sectional study.</p> <p>4- Places of data collection (names of cities or hospitals where data are collected) should be mentioned. State the entry criteria, sources and methods of selecting the participants. Who were the participants? Doctors, nurses or ----?</p> <p>Regarding the data collection instrument, please describe how many items there were, whether they were created by the researcher or not. Validity and reliability with references. Summarize the important and key findings while referring to the objectives of the study. State the limitations of the study by considering potential sources of bias or loss of accuracy. Discuss the direction and amount of possible biases. Provide a general and cautious interpretation of the results by considering the objectives, limitations, multiplicity of statistical analyses, results of similar studies, and other relevant evidence.</p> <p>5.yes</p> <p>6. Some of the references are very old, including 31-33, please update them and the references should be at least from 2016. It is suggested to use the following article: Expert Opinion on the Use of Novel Oral Anticoagulants for Stroke Prevention in Nonvalvular Atrial Fibrillation for the Primary Care Setting in India: A Literature Review</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Please give more explanations regarding the research methodology In the current research, the code of ethics and ethical considerations and how to obtain consent from the participants are not mentioned It is suggested to use the following article: Expert Opinion on the Use of Novel Oral Anticoagulants for Stroke Prevention in Nonvalvular Atrial Fibrillation for the Primary Care Setting in India: A Literature Review Please write the research findings in the tables in the findings section and avoid providing additional explanations in this section</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Najmeh Ghiami Keshtgar
Department, University & Country	Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Iran