

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_115196
Title of the Manuscript:	CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND TEMPORAL PROFILE OF PATIENTS REFERRED TO A CARDIAC CENTRE FOR STRESS ELECTROCARDIOGRAPHY (ECG) IN SOUTHERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The paper reports the result of a retrospective study were the clinical profile and indication are well described and the reason of interruption of the test are also reported. Exercise stress testing as a safe and non invasive procedure to detect inducible ischemia or reduced coronary reserve is widely demonstrated in literature; the risk is related to the level of coronary risk of patients and their clinical situation.</p> <p>What one expects to have reading the paper is:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Some correlation with the result of the test and risk and clinical profile of the patients 2. In how many patients the test resulted in inducible ischemia or reduced coronary reserve 3. There is non correlation with coronary angiography data (at least in those with positive test) <p>The data reported do not support the usefulness of the test in diagnosing, assessing and stratifying patients with risk of cardiac events; they support the fact that the test is safe because patients included in the study were at low risk and, probably, at no indication to test.</p> <p>On the other hands exercise stress testing gives its better results when indication is appropriate (made with an accurate anamnestic and assessment and related to the objective we have....ischemia?, coronary reserve?, functional capacity?, effort tolerance?,)</p> <p>I think that reviewing the paper reporting:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Indication for testing and results from it (in terms of positive or suspected CAD) 2. Data regarding the subsequent pathway of the positive patients (if available) 3. Distribution of positive or suspected results with risk factors 4. Appropriateness of the test in relation of indication 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Giuseppe Gullace
Department, University & Country	Casa di Cura Talamoni, Italy