

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_115856
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Bhutanese Grade 10 Students' Homework: Perceptions, Practices, and Academic Achievement
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript will inform the scientific community effectively if the discussion addresses both the significance and limitations of the research. Agreed, however, the title should also explicitly mention correlation as a key finding of the research. The methods should be implicitly stated in the abstract. Agreed, but the correlational tests should be described in the methods section and presented in the results to ensure the alignment of the research problem with the findings. Agreed, there is a lack of mention of the statistical tests for correlation (Pearson, Spearman's rho, or Kendall's tau). Some references should be updated to the latest available sources, such as OECD. The researcher used a report from 2007, but there is a newer report from OECD in 2023. <p>the conclusion could have provided more nuanced insights into the limitations of the study, such as potential biases in data collection or the generalizability of findings across different student populations. Additionally, considering the multifaceted nature of homework, the conclusion could have discussed potential challenges or unintended consequences associated with homework implementation that were not fully explored in the study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, the language is clear and understandable	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ogi Danika Pranata
Department, University & Country	IAIN Kerinci, Indonesia