

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_115856
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Bhutanese Grade 10 Students' Homework: Perceptions, Practices, and Academic Achievement
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community. The study is appealing and need of the study.</p> <p>The title of the article may be better as “The Perceptions of Teachers and Students Regarding the 10th Grade Students’ Homework in Perspectives Academic Achievement and Practices” Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive as required.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate but it needs further improvement and rectification.</p> <p>Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and most of the references are recent as well but it would be better to replace the out dated literature and citations by the latest and updated literature along with citations and references.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> There are so many grammatical mistakes and errors in the manuscript. Therefore, the language of the manuscript may be developed. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language/English quality of the article is poor and needs proof reading as well as proof reading to rectify the language and improve the quality of English used in the manuscript from grammatical, structural, punctuation and phrasal point of view.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the title of the manuscript is appealing and good but there some observations/suggestions for the author(s) to ensure its rectification and incorporation for the purpose of improving the quality of the manuscript:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Abstract is always started with some thematic sentences by keeping view the title of the manuscript. Past tense is used in abstract but the author has used present tense which needs incorporation and rectification. Population and target population of the study is missing here in the abstract. Through which statistical rule of thumb/formula, the respondents 1500 students, 60 teachers across schools have been taken? Clarify it. Main objectives of the study are missing. Limitations and delimitations of the study are also missing. Findings, results and conclusions are not clear and even seem missing. Recommendations at the end are also missing. The author is advised to re-write an abstract again to represent the theme and gist of the whole article. The numbers of key words should not be more than five or six words: The keywords of this manuscript may be: Mathematics, Teachers, Students, Homework, Academic Achievement and Practices. Multi citations for a single idea or statement in the research are not appreciated. Therefore, use one or two latest and updated citations for a single statement/idea or paragraph rather than 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>numbers of citations with different years.</p> <p>12. Use et al., in citation when the numbers of authors in the reference of that citation are more than three.</p> <p>13. Population, Target Population, Sample, Sampling Techniques etc. are all missing. Provide table for the population and target Population with proper sources beneath the table to represent the population.</p> <p>14. Only mentioning items are not enough. Show it in proper tables and statements or themes.</p> <p>15. Tables are not according to latest APA format/style.</p> <p>16. Separate RESULTS from DISCUSSION portion. In DISCUSSION portion, compare the current study with the previous studies as well.</p> <p>17. Write CONCLUSIONS in past tense. The conclusions portion needs further improvement and refinement.</p> <p>18. The spelling of references may be corrected and rectified. Most of the references are not according to APA style/format.</p> <p>19. Text is not justified in some places in the manuscript. Therefore, justify the text as well where necessary to justify.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mati Ullah
Department, University & Country	University of Lakki Marwat, Pakistan