

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_115660
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Dividend Policy, Liquidity and Profitability on Company Value
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it will give a comprehensive idea for the role of dividend policy in the company value in the case of manufacturing firms in the context of signalling theory. I suggest "Does Dividend Policy has role in enhancing company value of Manufacturing firms". The abstract should revise by adding statistical and theoretical results and recommendations Yes these are appropriate. The format of the table should be APA and the formula equations should be in "equation editor" rather than pasting "picture". Yes but with the minor changes, the manuscript will be scientifically correct. The results of table are just noted down under table. But the results should be discuss with scholarly approach and these findings should be supported by the previous studies. Theoretical support should be given to the results. As no theory discussion is added. The researcher should add more recent references and especially in the introduction section from the global and Indonesian perspective. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes the language is 'OK' the researcher should discuss all the points in a smooth flow which will increase the interest of readers. "The first factor that affects firm value is dividend policy" instead of this the researcher should use comprehensive sentences. The manuscript has a lot of this type of sentences. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The researcher should work on abstract and try to make it more attractive. The results of the tables are just mentioned under the table. The researcher should elaborate the results in scholarly manner. Diagnostic tests should be added before going to the final model of analysis. The manuscript is lacking of theoretical discussion. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Liaqat Ali
Department, University & Country	The University of Agriculture, Pakistan