

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJEBA_115056 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Gender-Based Variations in Financial Literacy: An Examination of Trincomalee Campus, Eastern University, Sri Lanka |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses gender-based variations in financial literacy among university students. But Author needs to highlight the contributions and significance of the study. The title "Gender-Based Variations in Financial Literacy: An Examination of Trincomalee" effectively highlights the study's focus on gender differences in financial literacy in Trincomalee. But it could be enhanced by specifying the population being studied, such as university students, for clarity. Consider revising "Gender-Based Variations in Financial Literacy Among Trincomalee University Students" for a more specific title. (However, this is not mandatory) The abstract could be strengthened by providing more specific details about the methodological approach and insights into the implications of the research. There is many formatting issues in the whole manuscript Yes, the manuscript makes a value addition to the literature, but author needs to highlight the significance of the study more forcefully There are issues in the references, the years are missing. i.e., (Lindsey , et al., n.d.). Please re-check all the references. Moreover, I do not find any single study of 2024, and author cite only one study of 2023. There is a need to add and some recent relevant studies | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <p>There are many grammatical issues, need a thorough proofreading.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Amber Pervaiz |
| Department, University & Country | University of Education, Pakistan |