

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_114702
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSTRAINTS FACED & SUGGESTIONS OBTAINED IN ADOPTING RECOMMENDED CULTIVATION PRACTICES BY MANDARIN GROWERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>Abstract is well written, it summarizes the paper. However, there is No clearly stated objective. Objective must be clearly stated in the abstract.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>It looks original and scientifically ok.</p> <p>References are recent but only five (5) references are not sufficient for original article.</p> <p>The research methodology is appropriate and adequately described.</p> <p>The results are relevant to the objective and are clearly presented. Tables legible and clear, with readable legend.</p> <p>The data/results are credible and relevant to the problem posed.</p> <p>The results are not adequately discussed. Author must explain the results in the context of existing literature.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Well written in readable English</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Discussion should be properly written</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Francis Aboagye-Nuamah
Department, University & Country	Methodist University, Ghana