

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_115013
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on benefits of organic food products in human life during Covid-19
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>After thoroughly examining the manuscript MS JSRR 115013 provided, I found several technical flaws and a lack of scientific relevance in the way the authors presented their work. The title is too vague and doesn't align well with the content of the paper.</p> <p>The abstract fails to provide pertinent information on the results. The paper's classification as either a review or an original piece is unclear. The numerical data in the table is inadequately explained; while I assume they are percentages, this is not explicitly stated. Including a note or key to clarify the table would be beneficial.</p> <p>Additionally, the quality of English used is subpar, indicating a need for the authors to revisit the basics of scientific writing</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Koleayo Omoyajowo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Centre for Technology Management, Nigeria</b>