

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_115449
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of the status of music teaching in middle school under the background of "Art into the middle school entrance examination" —— Taking Dejiang County as an Example
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>A suggested topic is: The status of aesthetic education in selected middle schools in Dejiang county, China: An investigation</p> <p>Yes. However, the first line should be removed. The abstract should begin with this: Art education belongs Way to implement aesthetic education</p> <p>The key words should be: aesthetic education, art education, music education and middle school.</p> <p>Fairly good.</p> <p>In 1.1 Research Background: in the opening paragraph the researcher needs to define "aesthetic education" and explain the components (e.g., art education and music education) which fall under aesthetic education.</p> <p>Please indent points 1 and 2. In paragraph 2, under the background sub-section, briefly explain what music education entails.</p> <p>In paragraph 2 begin a new paragraph here: A questionnaire survey on the current</p> <p>1.2 Research Purpose: the purpose of this research is to investigate high school students' attitudes towards music, why students like music lessons and their level of mastery of basic knowledge of music. This is being investigated because The researcher should explain why there is this renewed interest in aesthetic education at this time. The researcher should clarify the purpose of the study.</p> <p>There is no section on the research questions or objectives.</p> <p>1.3 Literature Review: Why is aesthetic education in schools important? State the benefits to students. Look briefly at the challenges involved. This should be done before going into details about how the components of aesthetic education are examined.</p> <p>1.4 Research Significance: put this section in point or bullet form. What are the benefits to students, teachers and other stakeholders? How can the study impact the way in which aesthetic education is taught?</p> <p>2. Research process: this should be the research methodology. The researcher has not identified the type of study. What was the sampling procedure used? The instrument has been identified, a questionnaire; was the questionnaire designed by the researcher or was it adapted from another researcher's instrument. Please clarify.</p> <p>There is too much repetition of how many students were surveyed, also the dimensions of the questionnaire.</p> <p>4. Findings and suggestions: 4.1 the paragraph beginning: Second, "there release" should be "the release of".</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>4.1 Suggestions should be renamed recommendations. The conclusion is at 5. However, the conclusion should be placed before the recommendations. Organize it like this: 4 – Findings; 5 – Conclusion; and 6 – suggestions/recommendations. In the recommendations the research should explain why the government should invest in aesthetics education via art and music. It is to be noted that there is no focus on art education, art just gets a mention.</p> <p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Linda Superville
Department, University & Country	Trinidad & Tobago