

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_114638
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated Weed Management in Mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.) – A Review
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This review manuscript is very good, written very well with a very clear flow of logic and writing organization, involving about 100 publications, which are needed for a review paper. Therefore, it is important for scientific community. However, there are some suggestions to improve this manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Keywords must be arranged alphabetically, do not use words in title for keywords. Some publications in reference list are written not consistently: the year of nine out of 100 publications are written in (xxxx) while the rest are in xxxx, without parenthesis. There should be 2 same first names with the year of 2013, I found 2013a but not 2013b: Patel, H.B., Patel, G.N., Patel, K.M., Patel, J.S and Patel, N.H. 2013. Integrated weed management in Indian mustard. <i>International e-Journal</i>. 2(3): 276-282. Patel, H.F., Patel, J.C., Maheriya, V.D and Patel, B.B. 2013a. Integrated weed management in <i>kharif</i> groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogea</i>). <i>BIOINFOLET-A Quarterly Journal of Life Sciences</i>. 10(1b): 320-321. Notation a, b, c must be written in order and written consistently without parenthesis: Yernaïdu, Y., Parameswari, Y. S., Madhavi, M., & Prakash, T. R. 2023 a. Energy Balance Studies of Weed Management Practices in Mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> (L.) Czerj and Cosson). <i>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</i>, 13(6), 95-101. Yernaïdu, Y., Parameswari, Y. S., Madhavi, M., & Ramprakash, T. (2023 c). Effect of Weed Management Practices on Nutrient Uptake and Economics of Mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i>). <i>International Journal of Economic Plants</i>. 10(1):016-019. Yernaïdu, Y., Parameswari, Y. S., Madhavi, M., and Ramprakash, T. (2023 b). Effect of Weed Management Practices on Soil Enzymes Activity in Mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> (L.) Czerj and Cosson). <i>Environment and Ecology</i>, 41 (3A): 1602—1605. Latin name of plants must be written in italic. For example: Raj, P., Singh, G., Raj, R., Kumar, A., Pandey, D., & Pal, R. K. (2021). Influence of integrated weed management on growth attributes and quality of Indian mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.). <i>The Pharma Innovation Journal</i>, 10(4), 131-135. To easily compare numbers, please indicate thousands with comma, for example: 20164.36 → 20,164.36 and 79252.02 → 79,252.02. Abbreviation of a complete term is better written once the first time it appears and use the abbreviation consistently after that. For example, Days After Seeding (DAS) 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes, it is Excellent</p>	

Comment [H1]: 2013b?

Comment [H2]: Where is 2013b?

Comment [H3]: Different notation?

Comment [H4]: Year sequence?

Comment [H5]: Different notation?

Comment [H6]: Latin name italic

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments	This review manuscript is very good, written very well with a very clear flow of logic and writing organization, involving about 100 publications, which are needed for a review paper. Therefore, it is important for scientific community.	
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdul Kadir Salam
Department, University & Country	University of Lampung, Indonesia