

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_114844
Title of the Manuscript:	NUTRITIONAL AND SENSORY EVALUATION OF LOCALLY PRODUCED ACHA (DIGITARIA EXILIS) FLAKES FORTIFIED WITH BAMBARA NUT AND CRAYFISH
Type of the Article	Research

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, flakes are good breakfast option and can be a good source of nutrition.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but need to revise it in order to correct the typological mistakes.</p> <p>The heading of Methods must be change into 'Materials & Methods'</p> <p>The manuscript needs major corrections before going to accept.</p> <p>References are mixed and sufficient. The authors are suggested to follow the journal author guidelines to write the references. References are not following one style.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors has to check that in the table 3 Vitamin A unit is wrong. Why you are mentioning Vitamin A unit in mg/100gm? Why not IU has been used? • Manuscript is poorly discussed, check the iron and vitamin A column in discussion only iron has been discussed. • Any acronym before being used must be in expanded form. • Sensory results better to show with bar graph instead of radar graph. • Table 5 results are with standard deviation • The anti-nutrients contents in the final products how increasing and decreasing have not been discussed. • Discussion should be done on why the zinc content decreasing in the final products in comparison to raw materials. • Overall the manuscript needs to revise carefully before going to accept for the publication. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1) The authors suggested to avoid typo and grammatical mistakes throughout the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sonia Morya
Department, University & Country	Lovely Professional University, India