

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_115505
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of potassium humate, phosphorus and copper on onion quantitative and qualitative yield
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes but I think the name of the place where the soil was sampled should be mentioned in the title.</p> <p>Yes it is, but it's long. It will be better if the author can reduce the number of words.</p> <p>The author should organize the presentation of result and discussion. If possible let them appear in separate sections.</p> <p>Yes it is.</p> <p>Yes but the old ones can be removed.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes but the author still needs to do some more work on sentence construction.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author should work on tenses error. Some have been highlighted already. All sentences should make complete sense and should be understood by everyone. The author should also take note of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The use of abbreviation and symbols for elements is good but should be explained either at the beginning of the paragraph or a section should be created for abbreviations and their meaning. 2. Table 1 on page 4 represents the result on analysis of the soil, it should be moved to result section as well as table 2 and 3. 3. The method for the analysis of soil should also be mentioned. 4. The author should also avoid unnecessary spacing in the work or double spacing between words. 5. A few of the references are somewhat too old. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Okoye Ogechi
Department, University & Country	University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria