

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_115405
Title of the Manuscript:	SHORT TERM EFFECTS OF DIRECT VISION INTERNAL URETHROTOMY ON UROFLOWMETRY PARAMETERS IN MALE ANTERIOR URETHRAL STRICTURE TREATMENT IN PORT HARCOURT
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. the article studies effect of internal urethrotomy, scientifically in form of increased flow rates and also studies its complications</p> <p>ok</p> <p>ok</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>references can probably be decreased. the journals instructions regarding the references can be adhered to.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In the results, there is error in percentage of bulbar stricture. this is 70% and not 90%</p> <p>The discussion I would like two options to be answered. is that if there is so high rates of relapse after internal urethrotomy, why not upfront urethroplasty. secondly, why no attempt at second urethrotomy done as this could have avoided urethroplasty by another 6 months to year. i personally feel that doing a procedure which is only going to help for 6 months may be questionable. this point should be explained in discussion.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sushanto Neogi
Department, University & Country	Maulana Azad Medical College, India