

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_106551
Title of the Manuscript:	Casino Royale: A Comparative Analysis of Financial Literacy and Locus of Control on SMEs' Financial Behavior
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The writing of the manuscript has many flaws, needs many revisions to become important to the scientific community?</p> <p>The title of the article is not appropriate. The recommended alternative journal is "The Influence of Financial Literacy and Locus of Control on the Financial Behavior of SMEs"</p> <p>The article abstract is incomplete. The abstract should start with research objectives, methods, empirical findings and recommendations/contributions from research results</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate. The introductory part is not clear about what the main research phenomenon is, and the empirical gap in work related matters. The literature review is made into a separate section and separated from the method. Add a research results discussion section that discusses empirical findings in depth.</p> <p>The manuscript is not yet completely scientifically correct, there are still many parts that need to be revised and several parts added. The systematics of writing articles is adjusted to journal guidelines. Conclusions are added with study limitations and work related agenda</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of articles in language/English needs to be revised so that they become papers that are suitable for scientific communication</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Endri Endri</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Mercu Buana, Indonesia</b>