

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_106575
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of sanitation facilities and environmental conditions of motor parks in Ibadan metropolis, Oyo State Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the slum area who have poor sanitation. but, the manuscript lack scientific writing such as consistency problem, gramatical errors, abstract lack method detail and ethical issue.</p> <p>The title is suitable but the body of the article specific to toilet service which contradict the topic of inteerest, i suggest WASH facilities and its practice.....</p> <p>The abstract is not well written, especially the method part lack the design, sampling process, data collection process, method of analysis and so on. As to scientific writing , this must include in the method part.</p> <p>The subsection and structure of the manuscript is not well structured and written well. Because the methodology part lack different thing, such as the design is not cross sectional study design but it is mixed method design since the study includes both quantitative and qualitative studies, the analysis of qualitative data is not clear which kind of analysis was done. Regarding scientific writing the manuscript does not fulfill the criteria becaus eit is not addressed the critical issue.</p> <p>The reference also not sufficient, the author view limited research.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Regarding the language issue, the manuscript has many gramatical errors	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The manuscript has many problem on methodology, result presentation, and discussion part also shallow.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Meless Gebrie Bore
Department, University & Country	School of Nursing and Midwifery, UTS, Australia