

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_105487
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> and <i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> formulation on germination and expression of bioprotective molecules against cercosporiosis in <i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> in the field
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

ART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. It is important for scientific community.</p> <p>Yes. Suitable.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes. But the methodology part should be explained briefly.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes. Correct the spelling mistakes. The format in the reference section is not uniformly followed. It should be corrected.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes. But correct some spelling and grammar mistakes in article.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In abstract gas chromatography combined to mass spectrophotometry will be corrected as combined with mass spectrophotometry 2. In introduction part Cameroon ; Egypt ; space should be corrected Cameroon; Egypt; Similarly the spaces will be corrected in the entire article. 3. Remove unnecessary prepositions in 2.4 ie. and for its widespread distribution into and its widespread. 4. In 2.6 petri dishes should be capitalized as Petri dishes 5. In references part correct the spelling in Higa- Whashington as Washington 6. In Irabour et al - potentail should be corrected as potential 7. In Liang et al china should be capitalized as China etc. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The following should be corrected.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The authors not clearly stated about why they selected both bacteria and fungi together for their study. 2. The authors not clearly mentioned about what is the individual and combined role of fungi and bacteria for the control of pathogens and enhancing seed germination. 3. In materials and methods preparation of individual microbial formulation and bio formulation are not clearly explained. 4. There is no description about how isolation and the identification of bacteria and fungi carried out in the studies. 5. The optimized condition used for fermentation is not clearly stated. 6. What is the optimized cohabitat condition for both bacteria and fungi in their studies? 7. The results of some parameters which are described in methodology part were not properly discussed . for eg % of infestation, Gas chromatography-mass spectrophotometry analysis of leaf extracts, statistical analysis. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. Sathya Priya
Department, University & Country	Bharathiar University, India