

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_107003
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of nitrogen management on growth attributes and yield attributes of wheat
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1.- Yes.</p> <p>2.- Yes.</p> <p>3.- Yes.</p> <p>4.- The structure is correct. The titles are correct. Introduction, materials and methods, results, and conclusions. Abbreviations should be explained or placed on a table.</p> <p>5.- The abstract is scarce, it is recommended to give more length to the content. Correct the indentation of the text on the right margin, it is very small. As in the Materials and Methods section. The conclusions are correct, but they are too few. With the number of results obtained, the explanation must be extended in the conclusion. Tables must be referenced in the text.</p> <p>6.- It is advisable that the references are not older than 8-10 years. Except for one or two if their use is extremely important. It is suggested to make a bibliographic review of the studies published in the last 10 years and update the references in the text. Some more reference could be advised. Some more reference could be added, up to 40. All references must be named in the article. (Chaudhry for example, it is not referenced in the text) The reference that has the broken link should be removed.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>- Typographical errors to be corrected. Spaces after periods, hyphens, separations...</p> <p>- It would be interesting to give some more data in the conclusion, more information about the result obtained. Explain a little more the good results obtained.</p> <p>- As a tip, you can add a section, Analysis of the limitations of the study.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noé Anes García
Department, University & Country	University Oviedo, Spain