

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Pathogen Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPR_107005</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Isolation, Characterization and Identification of Cassava Bacterial Soft Rot Isolates, Homa-Bay County, Kenya</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is very important to determine the etiological agent that causes a disease to be able to study and apply control measures, with the aim of preventing the disease from spreading and causing greater damage to agricultural production and agroecosystems.</li> <li>It is appropriate.</li> <li>The summary is understandable.</li> <li>The structure of the work is correct.</li> <li>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</li> <li>Bibliographic references are adequate and up-to-date.</li> <li>Review the bibliography. Some references are missing, such as Kreigh and Holt (1984).</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The language used is appropriate and technically correct.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Do not use as keywords those that are already present in the title.</li> <li>- Correct. It is a Bergey's manual, not Burgey's Manual.</li> <li>- The scientific names of the species mentioned in the bibliographic references should be written in italics.</li> <li>- To be certain that the isolated and identified microbial species are the cause of bacterial soft rot of cassava, it was necessary to inoculate them in healthy specimens and study whether the disease manifests again (apply Koch's Postulates).</li> <li>- In the Material and Method section, the procedures performed should only be detailed if there is a modification of the cited technique or method.</li> </ul> <p>The item "Preparation of Culture Media" is widely known, so it is not necessary to present it.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>María Gabriela Di Barbaro</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National University of Catamarca, Argentina</b>