

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_107056
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Soil Fertility Dynamics and Carbon Sequestration Potential in Sri Satya Sai District, Andhra Pradesh, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. In my opinion, the manuscript deals with a very intriguing and inspiring subject which results contributes to the scientific community. I was impressed with the use of groundnut (I recommend adding the scientific name of the plant into a parenthesis) as the cultivated plant to the under-study soil samples.</p> <p>2. In my view, the title of the article is suitable. It describes in a sentence the overall subject of the study.</p> <p>3. The abstract is concise highlighting the most important points of the research.</p> <p>4. The manuscript is structured appropriately and the sections are well organized.</p> <p>5. In my opinion, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient. I recommend adding a few more recent citations (if it's possible).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The study is well-written using the English language properly. I suggest revising the article and correcting a few grammatical and syntax errors.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The overall manuscript is well-written and well-structured with proper use of the English language. The experiment was organized in great detail with a large number of soil samples providing the necessary information about the physicochemical soil parameters. Another good point of the research is the detailed tables and diagrams that helped the readers delve into the overall study.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthoula Gleridou
Department, University & Country	Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece