

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_106525
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of Pharmacological Profile of Chloroform Leaf Extract of Ludwigia perennis - A Wetland Plant
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.The manuscript is significant to the scientific community and The current research suggests that the chloroform leaf extract of Ludwigia perennis includes medicinally valuable elements that can treat or alleviate complex illnesses. It is hoped that more research into the active components of plants will facilitate the development of new bioactive compounds for treating a variety of ailments.</p> <p>2. The article's title is appropriate.</p> <p>3. The abstract is flawless and written quite well.</p> <p>4. The composition of the research article is good. It is quite complete. Ask the reviewer to add comments and conclusions at the end of the article.</p> <p>5. very good</p> <p>6.very good</p> <p><b>The above information imply that this plant may be used to create new medicines, add more investigations and conclusions</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Theeranat Suwanaruang
Department, University & Country	Kalasin University, Thailand