

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_106450
Title of the Manuscript:	Top Edible Wild Plants of Eastern Mediterranean Region. Part II: Anti-inflammatory Activity
Type of the Article	Review

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Though the manuscript has a details of antinflammatory plants those are scientifically validated by the group of researchers but, the information presented in a very vague manner. The content clarity and information needs to compile and presented using uniform pattern.</p> <p>Instead of Anti-inflammatory "activity" "potential" is more suitable word in the title.</p> <p>Not seems impressive as not mentioned the method, conclusion is unclear.</p> <p>Not seems impressive as table is not well organized, the components are not specific enough.</p> <p>Though it is a review article but the some of the contents are misleading, for instance, the author(s) claim as on date i.e. August 23, but the reference indicate the date of surfing 1st May, 2023.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Not suitable, needs major revision.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vinod Kumar Gauttam
Department, University & Country	Shiva Institute of Pharmacy, India