

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_103124
Title of the Manuscript:	POLYCYCLIC AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS, PAHs CONTAMINATION LEVELS AND HEALTH RISKS IN FOODS CONSUMED IN NIGERIA: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW
Type of the Article	A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.The manuscript is very important for scientific community especially in the study of environmental protection and human safety. This manuscript highlighted the dangers of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the environment and foods using Nigeria as a case study. The author widened his/her study site to accommodate majority of Nigeria land mass. Virtually every food consumed was covered. This is an indepth study.</p> <p>2. Yes.</p> <p>3. Yes.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Very correct</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent enough.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Satisfactory.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The work is a beautiful masterpiece.</p> <p>2. There are corrections to be made for the beauty of the work to come out.</p> <p>3. The author should take time to read the comments and look at the references I corrected very well to see what I did to know exactly what to do.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ijeomah, Oluchukwu C.
Department, University & Country	Madonna University, Nigeria