

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_106572
Title of the Manuscript:	DOES entrepreneurial orientation affect the performance of women-owned SMEs in Banditry Zone? The empirical evidence from Kebbi State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>(1) The manuscript is important to scientific community</p> <p>(2) The title is also suitable</p> <p>(3) The abstract requires little adjustments as noted in the copy</p> <p>(4) Structure and subsections of the article requires very serious adjustment as follows:</p> <p>(i) There should be clear structure of the article as follows:</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>Statement of the Problem (this section can be merged with the introduction)</p> <p>Objectives of the study</p> <p>Research Questions (or this section can be left out since there is one for hypotheses)</p> <p>Research Hypotheses</p> <p>Literature Review (to be discussed under the following sub-sections: Conceptual Review, Theoretical Review, Empirical Review, Theoretical Framework (if any), Gap in literature)</p> <p>Research Methodology</p> <p>Results</p> <p>Discussion</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>Recommendations (if any)</p> <p>References</p> <p>(ii) Research Problem should be stated clearly</p> <p>(iii) Research objectives and questions should be clearly stated</p> <p>(iv) Hypotheses should be in null format since they are to be tested in the study.</p> <p>(5) The manuscript is scientifically corrected if observations noted are effected.</p> <p>(6) Sufficient references</p> <p>(7) Comments made in the manuscript should be corrected to be better for publication.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kusugh Ternenge
Department, University & Country	Veritas University, Nigeria