

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJHR_106200
Title of the Manuscript:	The Survival Rate and Growth of Grafted-Seedling of Durian (<i>Durio zibethinus</i> Murr.) Using Various Age of Rootstocks and Different Numbers of Scion Bud
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. Plants have a great economic importance. 2. Yes. 3. Yes, but some changes mentioned below could be done. 4. Yes, but some changes mentioned below could be done in the "Material and Methods". 5. Yes, but some additional references could be added in the "Results and Discussion". 6. Yes.</p> <p>Dear authors,</p> <p>I am writing to you regarding the manuscript entitled "The Survival Rate and Growth of Grafted-Seedling of Durian (<i>Durio zibethinus</i> Murr.) Using Various Age of Rootstocks and Different Numbers of Scion Bud", which you submitted to the Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research</p> <p>The main idea of this article is of great relevance because it talks about a plant that very economically important. The role, however, needs to be improved. Therefore, some recommendations are suggested.</p> <p>In the Abstract, you could write at least a phrasal related to the importance of the plant.</p> <p>The Introduction is good, but you should also have written more about the plant.</p> <p>The "Materials and Method" is a little bit poor. It could be divided into topics, like "Plant Material", "Statistical analyses", etc.</p> <p>In the Results and Discussion section, it would be interesting to show some images that could show the studied plants.</p> <p>Also about the Discussion, maybe you could "talk" a little more with results of other articles, adding some additional and recent references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, but some changes should be made.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gabriel Casimiro Lopes Silva Santos
Department, University & Country	Rio de Janeiro State University, Brazil