

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_105325
Title of the Manuscript:	Children's attitude and preferences of Pediatric dentist's attire and dental clinic set up in pediatric dental practice.
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The research question is relevant.</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive but with lot of mistakes. The total number of students given in the abstract is wrong (365 children). The authors should be precise about the study population. Is it students in public schools or students visiting the dental OPD?</p> <p>There are lot of mistakes</p> <p>Ok</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>There are so many grammatical mistakes in the manuscript. The authors should use scientific language throughout the manuscript. Needs a serious revision</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The research is quite relevant. There should a thorough revision of the abstract. Result section of the abstract should include more relevant results from the study. The authors should be precise about the study population. Is it students in public schools or students visiting the dental OPD? Inclusion and exclusion should be corrected. There are so many grammatical mistakes in the manuscript. The authors should use scientific language throughout the manuscript. The language Needs a serious revision as there are sentences in the manuscript which do not make any sense. Table 1 First dental visit is considered as an experience of the treatment received, please correct. Can you please explain the significance of recoding p value in the table 1.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kishor Tom</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>AIMST University, Malaysia</b>