

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_107020
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Fracture Geometry on Proppant Size in Hydraulic Fracturing Design Optimization
Type of the Article	Case study

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The topic of manuscript is important for scientific community as Hydraulic Fracturing stimulation method is applied to increase the permeability value.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable as it is related with the Hydraulic Fracturing design.</p> <p>3. Yes. The abstract is compressive.</p> <p>4. Yes. The structure of the manuscript is appropriate. However, cite figure 1 and 2 in the text. Cite table 6 in the text.</p> <p>5. Yes. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent. I do not have suggestion of additional references. However, references must be cited in the text as references [12], [13], [14] , [15] , [16] and [17] are not cited in the text.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>No comments</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gelacio Juárez Luna
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, México