

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_106917
Title of the Manuscript:	Self- Mappings of Fuzzy Banach Spaces with Unique Common Fixed Point
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript, as seen, is not suitable for scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title is not suitable. I suggest 'Common fixed point of contractive-type fuzzy self-mapping in real Banach spaces.'</p> <p>3. The abstract is unconnected to the topic and it does not reflect the content of the main result.</p> <p>4. No, the subsection and the structure of the manuscript is haphazard. It should have been in the form: Section 1-Introductio, Section 2- Preliminary results (since fixed point theorems on fuzzy sets is not new, Section3-Main results and Section 4- Open problems (if any) and conclusion.</p> <p>5. No, the manuscript is not scientifically correct. The author(s) should do more literature work on fuzzy sets and their related fixed point theorems. Throughout the manuscript, I did not see any literature review on fuzzy sets/fuzzy maps.</p> <p>6. The references are insufficient and most of them are not recent. I still maintain that there are many results in literature involving fuzzy maps. The author(s) should rewrite the manuscript from the beginning to the end. They should consult more literature, use standard symbols, be mindful of the grammar throughout the manuscript and put down an inspiring introductionthat is enriched with the knowledge of fuzzy sets.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The grammar is poor. The author needs to do a lot of works here.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is not suitable for publication in a reputable journal like yours. Therefore, I recommend the author should take time and rewrite the whole manuscript.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Imo Kalu Agwu
Department, University & Country	Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Nigeria