

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_107089</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Documentation of viruses infecting lablab (Lablab purpureus L.) beans in Tamil Nadu</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1- Yes, the research is important to the scientific community and contributes to providing an important database on virus infections of economically important crops in order to clarify the extent of the risks to which bean plants are exposed and to encourage researchers to find appropriate solutions for these infection</p> <p>2- Yes, the title of the article is appropriate</p> <p>3- Yes, the summary of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>4- Yes, suitable</p> <p>5- Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6- Yes, the references are sufficient and up-to-date</p> <p>See the attachment</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes, the language is good for academic communication	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Wael Fahmi Abdulrahman Alshamary</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kirkuk University, Iraq</b>