

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_107089
Title of the Manuscript:	Documentation of viruses infecting lablab (Lablab purpureus L.) beans in Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p><i>The manuscript is relevant for scientific community.</i></p> <p><i>The title of the article is suitable.</i></p> <p><i>Revise the abstract to initially establish the aim(s) of the study.</i></p> <p><i>Revise the manuscript to include sub-sections on: (i) Objectives of the Study, (ii) Experimental Design and Methodology, (iii) Summary Discussion of Results, and (iv) Recommendations</i></p> <p><i>The manuscript is fairly correct.</i></p> <p><i>Arrange the references following the standard format, include additional references and replace those in selected yellow highlights with recent publications.</i></p> <p><i>Consider the suggestions and comments as sidenotes in the manuscript.</i></p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p><i>The use of English language is suitable.</i></p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p><i>Consider the suggestions and comments as sidenotes in the manuscript.</i></p> <p><i>Needs to seriously consider revising the manuscript to conform with the above suggestions and those written as side notes in the manuscript.</i></p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Renato L. Lapitan
Department, University & Country	Institute of Renewable Natural Resources, University of the Philippines Los Banos, Philippines