

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_106582
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening of cucurbits germplasm for antixenosis basis of resistance against fruit flies, Bactrocera spp. (Diptera: Tephritidae)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>OK</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>- ABSTRACT: spp, not in italics (second line and highlighted in yellow). - INTRODUCTION: refer to authors from the last five years. Very long paragraph, so it is suggested to fragment it into smaller paragraphs. - RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: remove the colon following the section (underlined and highlighted in yellow). Very long paragraph. Revise everything underlined and highlighted in yellow in the particle et al, and in two of the cases, the period after the l is missing. - REFERENCES: remove the colon after the name of the section (underlined and highlighted in yellow). Revise all the marks in yellow, as well as the underlining, in order to achieve total uniformity in this section.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Improvement is required in language	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rigoberto Fimia Duarte
Department, University & Country	University of Medical Sciences of Villa Clara, Cuba