

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_106374
Title of the Manuscript:	SEASONAL ASSESSMENT OF BIOMASS AND CARBON SEQUESTRAION OF HERBS AND LITTER IN HOMEGARDEN AGROFORESTRY SYSTEM OF SHUATS CAMPUS, PRAYAGRAJ.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Of course the carbon sequestration issue is contemporary.</p> <p>2. Of course it is suitable despite vagueness. For example litter layer is not clearly stated in the title as well as in the main body.</p> <p>3. Unnecessary abbreviation and acronyms (SHUATS & GHG); methodology is not stated; no recommendations; no significance s of the study.</p> <p>4. No, please read under the experimental and discussion. Mere collecting of titles.</p> <p>5. I do not say correct. Because, the sampling of plots, sample size, carbon data collection techniques, the carbon measuring models and others are not correctly mentioned unto convincing the readers. A single paragraph contains more than one idea and confuses to get well information. The differences between winter, summer and rainy season haven't already mentioned (identified). The importance of air drying and oven drying of litter and herbs are not clearly mentioned. Under discussion part, no discussion with similar works, and hence it seems report but scientific research. The study are, and sample sizes are integrated; data collection and sampling integrated or repatriation are there. Most of statements are short and meaningless.</p> <p>6. For example paragraph one has no reference at all; two and three comprises few or not at all. The result and discussion part hasn't comprise ample references etc.</p> <p>NB: since climate change and carbon sink or storage accounting (measuring) is crucial issue the motive of author is appreciated. However, as a scientific research it lacks coherence of paragraphs, cohesion of sentences in a paragraph, lack of research procedures and others.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Most of carbon sequestration related terms are not well articulated. Some sentences are not meaning full, and hence terminate readers.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author should give dedication in all aspects however not. As a scientific research except the title almost all prats (back ground, statement of the problems, result and discussion, study area description . . .) do not motivate readers unless will be written in detail and carefully!! The plot, litter and carbon sequestered in both (herbs and liter) should be articulated clearly to convince the reader but</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Genesha Mada
Department, University & Country	Arba Minch University, Ethiopia