

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_107142
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of some essential oil for the management of Rice Weevil (<i>Sitophilus oryzae</i>) on stored wheat
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>English should be reviewed. Some phrases are not complete, please review. The abstract should be revised.</p> <p>Introduction, is focused in India; it should include some additional general data on rest of world importance of the crop. Updated data on pest management should be added (note that only 1995 one is included in the manuscript).</p> <p>Material and methods. Please uniform the typos/form. In the abstract it was indicated more than in this section, please complete it. The rearing is not well described. Please review and better explain. Add additional information on grains used for rearing, plants used for oil extraction, way of preparation etc. Statistical analysis is required. In Discussion it is said that was significant (but no results of statistics provided, please provide in the manuscript) Why different concentration was assessed 4 or 5 ml/kg?</p> <p>Why weight loss was higher in untreated control? What is the reason for overall mean? (from three different point times?)(the same for the first table results). And what the germination in control is 23%)</p> <p>Please re-write all section 4. Is not comprehensive and does not discuss results from scientific point of view and in relation to pest control (please, do not only compare results with other authors results). The three paragraphs are the same, please redo.</p> <p>Conclusion: Please re-write, English should be carefully reviewed. You only repeat the previous results.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marta Giner
Department, University & Country	University of Lleida, Spain