

Evaluation of Hygienic Practices and Microbiological Quality of Street Vended Fruit Salads in Morogoro, Tanzania

ABSTRACT

Street vended foods have gained popularity due to economic benefits. However, they have been recognized as a potential hazard to public health as a result of poor hygienic practices. The study was conducted to assess the hygienic practices and microbiological quality of street vended fruit salads vended in Morogoro Municipal, Tanzania. A total of 30 respondents were involved in the study to assess of quality of fruit salad vended by town street vendors (TSV), University cafeterias (UCV), and town restaurants vendors (TRV). The findings revealed that most vendors (86.3%) were unaware of food safety, 73.3% were unaware of food safety standards and laws, and every seller evaluated was unaware of food safety initiatives such as **Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) and Good Hygienic Practices (GHP)** and had never implemented any of them. **All vendors saw the doctor only when they were ill. Nevertheless, none of vendor had a quality registration certificate or had undergone training in food safety and hygiene.** Most of the salad preparation settings (46.7%) did not adhere to the fundamental requirements of a food preparation facility, and the vending facilities were in disrepair. Piles of dirty were observed in the food salad preparation and vending premises and 80% of the vendors used uncovered waste bins that were observed to encourage pests such as flies and cockroaches in the premises. The **total aerobic count (TAC)** ranged from 3.92 ± 0.31 to 4.29 ± 0.21 log CFU/g. All fruit salad samples were contaminated with coliforms and **the level of coliform count** exceeded 1.4×10^4 MPN/g **in** fruit salad samples indicating poor hygiene and fecal contamination. Possible sources of contamination were found to be water quality, cross-contamination, food handling and preparation equipment, and environmental factors such as dust, pests, and air quality. According to the study's findings, the majority of fruit salad sellers in the study area did not adhere to hygienic practices, and the made fruit salads were of poor microbiological quality, putting consumers at risk for food safety.

Key words: Street food vendors, Microbial quality, Hygiene practices, Fruit salad

32 1. INTRODUCTION

33 “Street foods are ready to eat foods and beverages prepared or sold by vendors or hawkers
34 especially in streets and other public places. Street foods may be the least expensive and most
35 accessible means of obtaining a nutritionally balanced meal outside the home for many low-
36 income people, provided the consumer is informed and able to choose the appropriate
37 combination of foods” (FAO, 2013). “Fruit salad is usually a combination of various fresh fruits
38 such as apples, water melon, pineapples, cucumber, pawpaw, and orange. They are usually sliced
39 into small pieces and packaged in small transparent plastic bowls. Salad can be eaten with a fork
40 or tooth pick, with or without milk added” (Brooks, 2014). “Fruits are an extraordinary dietary
41 source of nutrients, micronutrients, vitamins and fiber for humans and are thus vital for health
42 and well-being. Well balanced diets, rich in fruits are especially valuable for their ability to
43 prevent vitamin C and vitamin A deficiencies and are also reported to reduce the risk of several
44 diseases” (Harrison, 2012). “Regular consumption of fruits is associated with reduced risks of
45 cancer, cardiovascular disease (especially coronary heart disease), stroke, Alzheimer’s disease,
46 cataracts, and some of the functional declines associated with ageing” (Liu, 2003).

47 “In Morogoro, fruit salad is prepared and sold in road side, bus terminals, university cafeterias,
48 recreational areas and around the streets. Various fruits used in preparing the fruit salad are
49 usually kept on the ground near the slicing tables without any form of protection, hence the
50 microbiological quality of the prepared fruit salads remain doubtful” (Brooks, 2014). “Fruits are
51 widely exposed to microbial contamination through contact with soil, dust and water, and by
52 handling at harvest or during post-harvest processing. Pathogenic microorganisms can also enter
53 the fruits through damaged surfaces, such as wounds, cuts, and splits. Such pathogens may
54 become internalised, survive, and grow within the fruit and consequently become a health hazard
55 to consumer” (Penteado et al., 2004).

56 “The number of documented outbreaks of human infections associated with the consumption of
57 raw fruits, vegetables, and unpasteurized fruit juices has increased in recent years” (Bintsis,
58 2017). “Unhygienic preparation, processing, and handling of raw fruit salads are the key sources
59 of bacterial contaminations that lead to the outbreak of foodborne diseases” (Qadri et al., 2015).
60 “There is high microbial contamination in raw fruit salads locally vended in various areas
61 including Morogoro municipality, something that poses a threat of foodborne diseases to

62 consumers. Street-vended fruit salads are commonly consumed by residents and visitors in the
63 Morogoro municipality. Poor hygiene practices and the presence of microbial contaminants can
64 pose significant risks to public health, leading to foodborne illnesses. The conditions under
65 which some street vendors operate are reported to be unsuitable for the preparation and sale of
66 food” (Barro et al., 2006). “The place of preparation of fruit salads is not always clean, well lit,
67 and not far from the source of contamination. The preparation surfaces used by some vendors
68 have remnants of foods prepared earlier that can promote cross-contamination. Most of these
69 foods are not covered and are exposed to flies and dust, which may harbour food-borne
70 pathogens” (Rane, 2011). “In about 70 to 90% of cases, the presence of animals, insects, and
71 liquid wastes in food preparation areas has been reported” (Rane, 2011). “Street vendors are
72 mostly uninformed about good hygienic practices (GHP) and food safety knowledge” (Muyanja
73 et al., 2011).

74 Street-vended fruit salads are commonly consumed by residents and visitors in Morogoro
75 municipality. Poor hygienic practises and the presence of microbial contaminants can pose
76 significant risks to public health, leading to foodborne illnesses. Understanding the current
77 microbiological quality and hygienic practises is essential for the protection of public health.
78 There is therefore an urgent need to promote food safety practices in the production and
79 consumption of street vended foods, particularly in the developing countries where levels of
80 hygiene standards are questionable, in order to ensure that the health of the consumers is
81 safeguarded, and at the same time ensuring provision of nutritious, healthy and affordable foods
82 that are easily accessible to all. This study aimed to assess the hygienic practices and
83 microbiological quality of street vended fruit salads that are sold locally in Morogoro
84 Municipality, Tanzania.

85 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

86 **2.1 Study Area and Sample Collection**

87 The study was carried out in the Morogoro Municipality, Tanzania. Samples of fruits salad were
88 randomly collected from the street fruit salad vendors in the afternoon after questionnaire
89 interview and observations are complete. The samples were kept in aseptic containers and stored
90 in a cool box containing sterile ice packs and taken for immediate analysis. The population
91 evaluated during the study was classified into three groups: town street vendors (TSV),

92 University cafeterias (UCV), and town restaurants vendors (TRV). A total of 30 respondents (10
93 respondents from each category of street vendors) were involved in the study.

94 **2.2 Study Design**

95 A cross-sectional and experimental research design were conducted. Initially, a survey of street
96 vendors doing business on the sides of the road, bus terminals, market areas, town center,
97 university cafeteria, and town restaurants was carried out to collect data on knowledge and
98 hygienic practices related to GMP and GHP by using a structured questionnaire. Possible sources
99 of contamination of fruit salads were observed using checklist. Thirty fruit salad samples were
100 collected from interviewed vendors for laboratory analysis of microbiological quality
101 parameters.

102 **2.3 Data Collection Tools**

103 Data collection tools were structured questionnaires and check list. A questionnaire was created
104 logically so that respondents may react quickly and sequentially. The whole questionnaire was
105 organized and separated into different sections: demographic information (such as gender, age,
106 educational level); knowledge about food safety and hygiene; and food safety; hygiene practices
107 of fruit salad vendors and type of infrastructure used in vending business. Check list was
108 organized into different sections including; hygienic condition of the vending environment,
109 personal hygiene of vendors and preparation and serving utensils. The questions regarding water
110 sources and treatment, fruit handling and fruit salad preparation methods, storage, serving
111 equipment and vending sites were administered to the respondents. Salad preparation, handling
112 practices, storage of leftover fruit salad and salad storage practices were observed and noted.
113 Information was collected on the status of the premises, storage conditions for fruit salad, cutting
114 and cutting place, status of the serving plate, cleanliness of the cloth and clothing used, provision
115 for waste disposal and exposure to collected.

116 **2.4 Microbiological Analyses**

117 Fruit salad samples (25 g) were homogenized with a stomacher (Model H-2000C Shimadzu
118 Corp., Kyoto, Japan) in 90 ml peptone water for 2 minutes. Serial diluted samples were plated on
119 plate respective agars and incubated according to specifications for each microorganism. All
120 platings were done in triplicate and mean values were used (Were et al. 2020). Aerobic count of
121 viable organisms is a useful indicator of microbiological status of food. High counts indicate

122 unsatisfactory sanitation. The Plate Count Agar was tempered to 45 °C then added to the plate
123 and mixed well to ensure even distribution of colony forming units after incubation. The plates
124 were labeled as per every dilution and incubated at 30 °C for 24-48 hrs. Plates with 30-300
125 colonies were counted and the total count of viable organisms was calculated according to
126 equation 1.

127

128
$$\text{Number of bacteria in CFU/g} = \frac{\text{number of colonies} \times \text{reciprocal of dilution factor}}{\text{inoculum size (volume) in ml}} \dots \dots \dots \text{eqn. 1}$$

129 Most probable number (MPN) technique was used for enumeration of total coliform as in
130 (Horwitz, 1975). Samples were serial diluted from 10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁴. Three (3) tubes containing
131 MacConkey broth were inoculated with 1ml of appropriate dilution from each of the 10⁻² to 10⁻⁴
132 dilutions and incubated at 37⁰C for 24 hours. The number of tubes at each dilution level were
133 recorded in tables showing a positive reaction, that is growth, change in color and/ or gas
134 production in inverted Durham tubes (the highest dilution giving growth was used for
135 estimation). The MPN of coliforms/gram of fruit salad sample were then determined using
136 standard MPN tables for 3 tubes.

137 **2.6 Data analysis**

138 Both descriptive and analytical statistical methods were applied. Data for were entered and
139 analyzed using Microsoft Excel version 2010.

140 The collected data on Status of Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP), Good Hygienic Practices
141 (GHP) and possible sources of contamination of street fruit salad were analysed using SPSS
142 (Statistical Package for Social Sciences), version 20. Descriptive statistics was used to determine
143 the percentage, mean and frequency of the variables. For microbiological quality data, means of
144 cfu/g among the assayed fruit salad samples from town street vendor (TSV), University cafeteria
145 (UCV), and town restaurants vendors (TRV) were compared using One-way analysis of variance
146 (ANOVA) and the differences were considered statistically significant when p<0.05.

147 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

148 **3.1. Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents**

149 The demographic characteristics of the fruit salad vendors on the streets, in the university
150 cafeterias, and restaurants are shown in Table 1. The results indicated that the majority of fruit
151 salad vendors were men (67%) while women were only 33%. The findings also showed that the
152 vendors had a relatively low level of education. The majority (73.3%) of the fruit salad vendors
153 had primary education, while 13.3% had acquired a secondary and college education.

154

UNDER PEER REVIEW

155 **Table 1. Demographic information of Fruit Salad Vendors**

Parameters	Category	Percentage (%)
Vendor type	Town street vendors (TSV)	33.3 (10)
	Town restaurants vendors (TRV)	33.3 (10)
	University cafeterias (UCV)	33.3 (10)
Gender	Male	67(20)
	Female	33(10)
Age range	15 -24 yrs.	0
	25 - 34 yrs.	80(24)
	35 - 44 yrs.	20(6)
	45 – 54 yrs.	0
	55 and above	0
Level of education	Informal education	0
	Primary	73.4(22)
	Secondary	13.3(4)
	College	13.3(4)
Marital status	Single	66.7(20)
	Married	33.3(10)

156 *Values in parentheses are number of respondents (n = 30)

157 Similarly, previous studies revealed that most street food vendors possess low educational
 158 training and often lack adequate food safety knowledge and skills (Benny- Olliviera & Badrie,
 159 2007; Salisbury, 2016; Samapundo et al., 2015). Most street vendors engaged in street food
 160 business due to lack of formal employment. A study by Mensah et al. (2002) found that street
 161 food vending was common in countries where unemployment of individuals was high due to lack
 162 of formal education **Poor production and hygiene practices during the processing, storage and**
 163 **preparation of salads and juices, which can increase the risk of contamination from street food,**
 164 **may be associated with low levels of education** (Muyanja et al., 2011). The overall age profile
 165 showed that those with age ranging from 25 to 34 years constituted the largest proportion of
 166 vendors (80%) and respondents with the age 35 to 44 years were few (20%). Majority of the fruit
 167 salad vendors are unmarried (66.7%).

168 3.2. Food Safety and Hygiene Knowledge of Fruit Salad Vendors

169 The food safety knowledge and health characteristics of fruit salad vendors are shown in Table 2.
170 Most of the fruit salad vendors (86.3%) were unfamiliar with the term ‘food safety. In a study
171 conducted in Ireland, it was observed that some of the vendors did not know food safety
172 concepts (Brennan et al., 2007). Most fruit salad vendors (73.3%) were also not familiar with
173 food safety standards and regulations. All fruit salad vendors went for a medical check-up only
174 when sick. A similar study carried out in Sudan urged that routine medical examination of food
175 handlers should be carried out by health officials in order to regulate safe street food handling,
176 preparation, and vending (Abdalla et al., 2009). All fruit salad vendors were not familiar with
177 food safety programmes, including GMP and GHP. This may be due to the fact that street
178 vendors are mostly uninformed of good hygienic practices (GHP) and food safety knowledge
179 (Muyanja et al., 2011). In current study, none of the fruit salad vendors had a quality registration
180 certificate or received training on hygiene and food safety (Table 2). Previous study carried out
181 in the Colombo municipal area of Sri Lanka revealed that the majority of street food vendors did
182 not have an authorized license or proper training in food safety practices (Galgamuwa et al.,
183 2016). Additionally, the resent study by Nkosi and Tabit (2021) revealed that “vast majority of
184 the respondents in their study did not possess a food service/hospitality qualification and the
185 majority of them had not attended any food safety training courses”. “Street food vendors require
186 food safety training to boost knowledge and skills in food safety implementation” (Apanga et al.,
187 2014). “It was explained that vendors had not attended a food safety course because of the low
188 frequency of available training courses and the high fees of food safety training courses”
189 (Madaki and Bavorova, 2019). Therefore, there is an emerging need to provide proper training
190 on food safety practices and sanitary practices to street food vendors, as many of them are
191 unaware of GMP, and personal hygienic practices. Similar to the current study, Ranka (2020)
192 reported the key findings as observed in the WHO survey of street-vended foods. In their report,
193 most countries had insufficient inspection personnel, insufficient application of the HACCP
194 concept, and noted that registration, training, and medical examinations were not among selected
195 management strategies (Ranka,2020). This might have led to the poor implementation of
196 hygienic rules and procedures for fruit salad preparation hence leading to poor microbiological
197 quality of fruit salads.

199 **Table 2. Food safety and hygiene knowledge of fruit salad vendors**

Parameters	Answer choices	Percentage (%)
Familiarization with the food safety program and implementation	Familiar and implement	0
	Not familiar/do not implement	100 (30)
Awareness of food safety standards and regulations	Aware	26.7 (8)
	Not aware	73.3 (22)
Familiarization with food safety terminology	Familiar	13.3 (4)
	Not familiar	86.7 (26)
Main way of dealing with left overs already prepared left overs	Use them for the next day	73.4 (22)
	Consume	0
	Refrigerate	26.6 (8)
	Give away	0
Main precaution taken in the entire fruit processing	Maintain general hygiene status	20 (6)
	Do nothing	0
	Don't know	80 (24)
Medical certification	Yes	13.3 (4)
	No	86.7 (26)
Training on hygiene and food safety	Trained	0
	Not trained	100 (30)
Do medical check-up frequently	Yes	0
	No	100 (30)
Quality registration certificate	Posses	0
	Do not posses	100 (30)

200 *Values in parentheses are number of respondents (n = 30)

201 **3.3 Types of Infrastructure and Equipment used in Fruit Salad Handling**

202 Table 3 shows the type of infrastructure used by the fruit salad vendors. All of the fruit salad
 203 vendors (100%) indicated that they had access to water and relied on the safety measures taken
 204 by suppliers (municipal tap water supply), while none of the fruit salad vendors treated the water.
 205 This is similar to observations made in Sudan on the use of poor-quality water by vendors where
 206 it was noted by Abdalla et al. (2009) that the main source of water used was municipal tap water.

207

208

209 **Table 3.** Types of infrastructure and equipment used

Parameters	Answer choices	Percentage (%)
Water availability	Yes	100 (30)
	No	0
Source of water for processing	Deep wells	0
	Municipal tap	100 (30)
How is water ensured safe	Don't treat	100 (30)
	Boil	0
	Add disinfectant	0
	Filter	0
Availability of toilets	Yes	66.7 (20)
	No	33.3 (10)
Hand washing facilities	Yes	100 (30)
	No	0
Cooling facilities	Refrigeration	33.3 (10)
	Cool box	0
	Cooling dispenser	0
	None	66.7 (20)
Waste disposal available	Yes	53.3 (16)
	No	46.7 (14)
Type of waste disposal	Waste bin	60 (18)
	Pit/ earth hole	40 (12)
	Plastic bag	0
	Plastic bucket	0
Processing gear	Hair net/ cap	33.3 (10)
	Gloves	0
	Apron/ Processing coat	0
	None	66.7 (20)

210 *Values in parentheses are number of respondents (n = 30)

211 Although there is current results describing the bacteriological quality Morogoro municipal
 212 water, previous studies carried out in Kingolwira suburb in Morogoro indicated that the water
 213 used was of poor quality and highly contaminated and needed proper treatment before
 214 consumption (Shayo et al., 2007). If the situation remains as it was reported by Shayo et al.
 215 (2007) or improved still using un-treated water poses a health danger to the consumer. Most of

216 fruit salad vendors (66.7%) had access to the toilets, while few (33.3%) had no access to the
217 toilets. This was similar to the study conducted by Abdalla et al. (2009) which reported that clean
218 water supply and hand washing or toilet facilities are not available to food street vendors. Most
219 fruit salad vendors used waste bins for disposal of waste (60%) only 40% used Pit/ earth hole for
220 disposal of waste. A large proportion of fruit salad vendors (66.7%) did not have processing
221 clothing, while 33.3% of fruit salad vendors wore hair nets / caps in conjunction with aprons.
222 This indicated presence of poor infrastructure facilities used by vendors which might lead to
223 more cross contamination. All vendors did not wear gloves, although gloves could be hazardous
224 if not properly used. Similarly, street vendors in Uganda also were observed to have variable
225 poor infrastructure for preparation of foods (Muyanja et al., 2011).

226 **3.4 Hygienic Condition of the Vending Environment**

227 The results of the hygienic conditions of the vending environment are presented in Table 4. The
228 status of the vending place was in poor condition among the vendors visited (46.7%), and most
229 preparation settings did not meet the basic standards for a food preparation premises. During the
230 survey, piles of dirty items were seen in the fruit salad preparation and vending areas. Moreover,
231 (80%) of the vendors used uncovered garbage cans that were observed to encourage pests such
232 as flies and cockroaches in the premises. This is contrary to Codex general requirements for food
233 hygiene which recommend that, a place for food preparation should be kept clean at all times and
234 should be far from any source of contamination (rubbish, waste water, dust and animals). Such
235 similar observations were also recorded in other studies by Muinde and Kuria (2005) and
236 Chukwu et al. (2010). This situation is likely to be contributed by limited hygiene education and
237 inadequate food and premises inspections by health and food inspectors who would stop such
238 food mishandling practices. The results indicate that (66.7%) of the vendors had dustbins present
239 in their stalls but only 20% of those vendors covered them as a form of practice, and 53.3% of
240 those dustbins were overfilled at the time of visit. Waste water and refuse were also not disposed
241 properly (waste water and dirt near the stalls) by about 73.3% of the stalls. The working surfaces
242 were generally not clean with visible dirt in (66.7%) of the stalls visited. The results are similar
243 to a study carried out by Barro et al. (2006) in which conditions under which some street vendors
244 operate have been reported to be unsuitable for the preparation and selling of food.

245

Table 4. Hygienic condition of the vending environment

General profile	Answer choices	Percentage (%)
Status of vending place	Good condition	20 (6)
	Average condition	33.3 (10)
	Bad condition	46.7 (14)
Building surface washable and working space cleanable	Yes	33.3 (10)
	No	66.7 (20)
Environmental surroundings of street fruit salad vendors	Garbage and waste near stall	73.3 (22)
	Garbage and waste far from stall	26.7 (8)
Presence of garbage receptacles/dustbins	Yes	66.7 (20)
	No	33.3 (10)
Dustbin covered	Yes	20 (6)
	No	80 (24)
Waste and refuse disposed of properly (no waste water or refuse near stalls).	Yes	26.7 (8)
	No	73.3 (22)
Preparation surfaces (wiped, no visible dirt)	Clean	40 (12)
	Dirty	60 (18)
Dustbin overfilled during the time of the visit	No	46.7 (14)
	Yes	53.3 (16)

247 *Values in parentheses are number of respondents (n = 30)

248 3.5. Personal Hygiene of Fruit Salad Vendors

249 The results of the vendor personal hygiene are presented in Table 5. Parameters assessed for
 250 personal hygiene were; if a worker wears suitable clean clothes for processing, no decorative
 251 articles or jewelry, cleanliness of hands and nails, washing of hands before and after work, usage
 252 of gloves, hair net/ hat and handling of fruits. In the current study, the general practices of the
 253 fruit salad vendors towards fruit handling, juice preparation, and display for sell were found to be
 254 poor. The general hygiene of the fruit salad vendors and the premises was also poor and were
 255 observed to encourage contamination of the juices in the aspects of washing fruits and cleaning
 256 of utensils, preparation methods, storage of the juices and the general hygiene. Most vendors

257 93.3% did not wear processing gears such as aprons, gloves, or hair net, which could be a great
 258 source of cross contamination. Also 80% of the vendors handle money while serving the fruits
 259 which is also a source of cross contamination. It was also found that (66.7%) of the vendors
 260 handled the fruits with their bare hands. The hands of the food handlers have been reported to be
 261 the most important vehicle for the transfer of organisms from environment (food surfaces), nose
 262 and skin to the food (Rane, 2011). This supports the reports of contamination of street vended
 263 fruit salads with toxigenic *Staphylococcus aureus*, the major being suppurative lesions of human
 264 beings and the environment (Mohapatra et al., 2002). A study conducted “on hand rinses, stored
 265 water, and source waters in Bagamoyo Tanzania indicated that both water and hands are
 266 important means of transmission of bacterial pathogens” (Mattioli et al., 2013). “Majority of
 267 street vendors did not comply with the general codes of hygiene as laid by national and Codex
 268 Alimentarius requirements. This emphasises the importance of training street vendors on the
 269 importance of personal hygiene to avoid contamination of food” (James, 2006).

270 **Table 5. Personal hygiene of fruit salad vendors**

General profile	Answer choices	Percentage (%)
Vendors wash fruits before processing	Yes	73.3 (22)
	No	26.7 (8)
Wash your hands before or after fruit preparation	Yes	66.7 (20)
	No	33.3 (10)
Use aprons or uniforms	Yes	6.7 (2)
	No	93.3 (28)
Handle fruits with bare hands	Yes	66.7 (20)
	No	33.3 (10)
Short nails and clean hands	Yes	60 (18)
	No	40 (12)

Vendor wearing jewelry	Yes	20 (6)
	No	80 (24)
Handle money while serving the fruits	Yes	80 (24)
	No	20 (6)

271 *Values in parentheses are number of respondents (n = 30)

272 3.6. Preparation and Serving Utensils

273 Results of preparation and serving utensils used in fruit salad preparation are presented in Table
 274 6. Washing of the utensils was poorly done using cold water, without detergents. It was also
 275 observed that reusing the water that had been used several times was a common practice.
 276 Approximately 60% of the fruit salad vendors were found to predispose the fruits and utensils to
 277 contamination by poor methods of washing. The prepared fruit salads were not handled with care
 278 due to poor handling of the salads. These results of current study are similar to the previous study
 279 conducted by Muyanja et al. (2011), which reaffirm the findings of WHO in year 1984 that street
 280 vendors in various parts of the world are known to wash their utensils including those in which
 281 food has been served, in water that has been previously used, perhaps many times. The use of
 282 same water for several times a day creates an environment for cross contamination from dirty
 283 rinse water to ready prepared fruit salads with the rinsed plate (WHO, 2005).

284 The findings show that the majority of vendors washed their utensils in basins that were also
 285 used to prepare fruit salads, which could lead to cross-contamination. Additionally, some already
 286 produced fruit salads (46.7%) were not stored in sealed containers, which permitted pest and bug
 287 infestation. On the other hand, the water used to wash the utensils was reused numerous times
 288 before being changed. The fruit salad was likely contaminated as a result of the utensils being
 289 washed in such filthy water. The results are consistent with those of Tambekar et al. (2009) and
 290 Cardinale et al. (2005), which also reported unclean habits.

291 **Table 6. Preparation and serving utensils**

Parameters	Answer choices	Percentage (%)
Prepared salads kept in sealed conditions	Yes	53.3(16)
	No	46.7(14)
Modes of cleaning utensils	No cleaning	0
	Cold water &	40(12)

	soap	
	Cold water	60(18)
	Hot water	0
Utensils in good working conditions	Yes	60(18)
	No	40(12)
The basin for washing utensils is also used for fruit Salad preparation	Yes	33.3(10)
	No	66.7(20)
The prepared fruit salads handled carefully	Yes	40(12)
	No	60(18)
Basin for washing utensils also used for fruit salad preparation	Yes	60(18)
	No	40(12)

292 *Values in parentheses are number of respondents (n = 30)

293 3.7. Microbial Quality of Fruit Salad

294 The microbial count of the fruit salads samples from town street vendors (TSV), town restaurants
 295 vendors (TRV) and university cafeteria vendor (UCV) were evaluated in terms of Total Aerobic
 296 Count (TAC) and Total coliform counts (Table 7). The present investigation reveals a high
 297 microbial load in the fruit salad studied. Results for the total aerobic count from fruit salad
 298 vendors show that a large proportion of the samples had high total aerobic counts ranging from
 299 5.05×10^3 to 2.11×10^4 CFU/g of fruit salad homogenate. Furthermore, the fruit salads served in
 300 the town restaurants had high Total Aerobic Counts (TAC) of 2.11×10^4 CFU/g followed by those
 301 which were vended along the town streets with 1.92×10^4 CFU/g. Samples of fruit salad vended at
 302 the University cafeteria recorded the significantly lower amount of total aerobic count of and
 303 5.05×10^3 CFU/g (3.92 ± 0.31) among the other tested groups (Table 7).

304

305 **Table 7. Microbial count of fruit salad vended at town, restaurant and University cafeteria**

Street Vendor Category	TAC		Total Coliforms (MPN/g)
	CFU/g	Log CFU/g	
Town Street Vendors (TSV)	1.92×10^{4a}	4.25 ± 0.18^a	$\geq 1.4 \times 10^{4a}$
Town Restaurants Vendors (TRV)	2.11×10^{4a}	4.29 ± 0.21^a	$\geq 1.4 \times 10^{4a}$
University Cafeteria Vendor (UCV)	5.05×10^{3b}	3.92 ± 0.31^b	$\geq 1.4 \times 10^{4a}$

306 The mean \pm SD values with different superscript were considered significantly different at $p < 0.05$

307

308 The higher total aerobic count recorded on the salads served in the town streets and restaurants
309 would be due to poor compliance with hygiene practices among vendors and contamination by
310 moving vehicles, as well as dust particles, smoke generated, and crowds of people. These
311 findings are more similar to previous studies by Nwachukwu et al. (2008), Farzana et al. (2011),
312 Oranusi and Olorunfemi (2011) who recorded microbial load in fruits in the range of 10^4 - 10^9
313 CFU/ml. The presence of these microorganisms can be linked to a number of risk factors such as
314 improper handling and processing, use of contaminated water during washing and dilution, cross
315 contamination from rotten fruits, or the use of dirty processing utensils such as knife, flies, and
316 trays, which are relevant with the study of Parveen et al. (2008) and Rashed et al. (2013). Also,
317 the presence of these organisms in high numbers in fruit salad is of serious safety concern about
318 the consumption of street vended foods. Some of the organisms encountered in this study are
319 similar to those reported in similar studies from different countries (Edward et al., 2012; Mahale
320 et al., 2008; Rashed et al., 2013).

321 Regarding the total count of coliforms, it was established that all fruit salad samples were
322 contaminated since none of the samples registered 0.00 MPN/g (not detected status) as the
323 lowest range value expected for vended fruit salads. The coliform concentration in the fruit
324 samples amount to more than 1.4×10^4 MPN/g and was not significant difference between tested
325 groups ($p > 0.05$) indicating poor hygiene and fecal contamination. The results of a study
326 conducted on street vended foods in Sudan and South Africa also indicated high counts of
327 coliforms (Abdalla et al., 2009; Mosupye & Von Holy, 2000). It has been recommended that no
328 sample should contain more than 10 coliform organisms per 100 ml (FAO, 2013), in which all
329 samples tested had higher coliform counts than the maximum recommended limits, meaning that
330 the fruit salads are of poor microbiological quality.

331 According to Bakobie et al. (2017) and Halablab et al. (2011), the total coliform counts in food
332 samples are a sign of faecal contamination and poor hygiene procedures by food sellers. Since
333 certain coliforms, particularly *E. coli*, are frequently found in high concentrations in feces from
334 both humans and animals, the presence of these coliforms could also be linked to faecal
335 contamination. When consumed by people, certain *E. coli* strains in food might result in

336 gastroenteritis and diarrhea (Akter, 2016). In this study the coliform counts observed for the fruit
337 salads (Table 7) could suggest a high level of background faecal contamination of the fruit salads
338 sold to the consumers in Morogoro. Total coliform contamination in food groups can be
339 attributed to improper processing, the use of contaminated water during preparation and washing,
340 or secondary contamination through food handlers' skin infections and nose nares as well as
341 contact with contaminated tools like cutting boards, knives, and serving wares (Wei et al., 2006).
342 Codex Alimentarius on the safety of fresh juices recommends taking precedent and strict limits on
343 production, harvest, transportation, storage, manufacture, processing, labeling and distribution.
344 These are incorporated into Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs) and Good Manufacturing
345 Practices (GMPs) with Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) procedures being
346 applied throughout the food chain (Bates et al., 2001).

347 **3.8 Possible Sources of Contamination of Street Fruit Salad**

348 The survey in current study revealed that fruit salads were particularly vulnerable to a number of
349 microbial contamination sources, including the use of low-quality water to wash the fruits, cross-
350 contamination with other vegetables and fruits, or the use of filthy processing tools like knives,
351 cutting sticks, slicing tables, and trays, as well as negligent food handling procedures like
352 insufficient hand washing, improper storage temperature, and improper ingredient storage.
353 Cutting boards, knives, counters, and food storage containers are examples of items and surfaces
354 that might harbor bacteria if they haven't been well cleaned and sterilized (Wei et al., 2006).

355 **4. CONCLUSIONS**

356 In conclusion, fruit salad vended in Morogoro town street, university cafeteria, and town
357 restaurants exhibited high levels of microbiological contamination and as a result did not adhere
358 to Tanzania national or Codex Alimentarius food safety regulations. The results of current study
359 attributed subpar processing and poor hygiene practices to cross contamination rendering the
360 product unfit for consumption. The preparation practises and selling environments were
361 unsatisfactory and unhygienic. Additionally unsafe, the processing water appeared to taint the
362 fruit salads. Samples had significant coliform counts, which indicated poor microbiological
363 quality and the potential for food illness. It can be concluded that most fruit salad vendors in
364 Morogoro municipality did not follow hygienic procedures, and that the manufactured fruit
365 salads were of poor microbiological quality. The findings demonstrate how easily contaminated
366 fruit salads growing on the street can become. Consumers of fruit salad are exposed to the danger

367 of contracting a food borne illness as a result of these organisms, which are known to cause
368 gastrointestinal ailments and may have entered the product through improper processing.
369 Authors recommends that development of unique food safety messages tailored to vendor
370 mindsets and measurement of the efficacy of the provided food safety messages require
371 additional tactics in addition to food safety sensitization or education, which may be beneficial.

372

REFERENCES

- 373 Abdalla, M., Suliman, S., & Bakhiet, A. (2009). Food safety knowledge and practices of street
374 foodvendors in Atbara City (Naher Elneel State Sudan). *African Journal of*
375 *Biotechnology*, 8(24).
- 376 Akter, N. (2016). *Study on Bacteriological Quality of Street-vended Foods Collected from*
377 *Different Private Universities in Dhaka City, Bangladesh* East West University, Dhaka,
378 Bangladesh].
- 379 Apanga, S., Addah, J., & Sey, D. R. (2014). Food safety knowledge and practice of street food
380 vendors in rural Northern Ghana. *Food and Public Health*, 4(3), 99-103.
- 381 Bakobie, N., Addae, A. S., Duwiejuah, A. B., Cobbina, S. J., & Miniyila, S. (2017). Microbial
382 profile of common spices and spice blends used in Tamale, Ghana. *International journal*
383 *of food contamination*, 4, 1-5.
- 384 Barro, N., Bello, A. R., Savadogo, A., Ouattara, C. A. T., & Iiboudo, A. (2006). Hygienic status
385 assessment of dish washing waters, utensils, hands and pieces of money from street food
386 processing sites in Ouagadougou (Burkina Faso). *African Journal of Biotechnology*,
387 5(11).
- 388 Bates, R., Morris, J., & Crandall, P. (2001). Principles and practices of small-and medium-scale
389 fruit juice processing. Food and Agriculture Organization. *Agricultural Services Bulletin*,
390 146, 135-149.
- 391 Benny- Olliviera, C., & Badrie, N. (2007). Hygienic practices by vendors of the street food
392 “doubles” and public perception of vending practices in Trinidad, West Indies. *Journal of*
393 *Food Safety*, 27(1), 66-81.
- 394 Bintsis, T. (2017). Foodborne pathogens. *AIMS microbiology*, 3(3), 529.
- 395 Brennan, M., McCarthy, M., & Ritson, C. (2007). Why do consumers deviate from best
396 microbiological food safety advice? An examination of ‘high-risk’ consumers on the
397 island of Ireland. *Appetite*, 49(2), 405-418.
- 398 Brooks, A. (2014). Evaluation of microbial contamination of street-vended fruit salad in Calabar,
399 Nigeria. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*, 3(7), 1040-1046.
- 400 Cardinale, E., Gros-Claude, J. P., Tall, F., Gueye, E., & Salvat, G. (2005). Risk factors for
401 contamination of ready-to-eat street-vended poultry dishes in Dakar, Senegal.
402 *International journal of food microbiology*, 103(2), 157-165.
- 403 Chukwu, C., Chukwu, I., Onyimba, I., Umoh, E., Olarubofin, F., & Olabode, A. (2010).
404 Microbiological quality of pre-cut fruits on sale in retail outlets in Nigeria.
- 405 Edward, K., Umoh, E., & Eze, V. (2012). Microbial Quality of already prepared fruit salad sold
406 in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. *J Biol Agri Health*, 2(11), 74-79.
- 407 FAO (2013). *Food for the cities: Street foods*, Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome, Italy,
408 2013, <http://www.fao.org/fcit/food-processing/street-foods/en/>.

409 Galgamuwa, L. S., Iddawela, D., & Dharmaratne, S. D. (2016). Knowledge and practices of food
410 hygiene among food handlers in plantation sector, Sri Lanka. *International Journal of*
411 *Scientific Reports*, 2(12), 304-311.

412 Farzana, K., Akram, M. R., & Mahmood, S. (2011). Prevalence and antibiotics susceptibility
413 patterns of some bacterial isolates from a street vended fruit product. *African Journal of*
414 *Microbiology Research*, 5(11), 1277-1284.

415 Halablal, M., Sheet, I., & Holail, H. (2011). Microbiological quality of raw vegetables grown in
416 Bekaa Valley, Lebanon. *American Journal of Food Technology*, 6(2), 129-139.

417 Harrison, F. E. (2012). A critical review of vitamin C for the prevention of age-related cognitive
418 decline and Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 29(4), 711-726.

419 Horwitz, W. (1975). *Official methods of analysis* (Vol. 222). Association of Official Analytical
420 Chemists Washington, DC.

421 James, J. (2006). Overview of microbial hazards in fresh fruit and vegetables operations.
422 *Microbial hazard identification in fresh fruit and vegetables*, 1-36.

423 Liu, R. H. (2003). Health benefits of fruit and vegetables are from additive and synergistic
424 combinations of phytochemicals. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*, 78(3), 517S-
425 520S.

426 Madaki, M. Y., & Bavorova, M. (2019). Food safety knowledge of food vendors of higher
427 educational institutions in Bauchi state, Nigeria. *Food Control*, 106, 106703.

428 Mahale, D. P., Khade, R. G., & Vaidya, V. K. (2008). Microbiological analysis of street vended
429 fruit juices from Mumbai city, India. *Internet Journal of Food Safety*, 10(9), 31-34.

430 Mattioli, M. C., Pickering, A. J., Gilsdorf, R. J., Davis, J., & Boehm, A. B. (2013). Hands and
431 water as vectors of diarrheal pathogens in Bagamoyo, Tanzania. *Environmental science*
432 *& technology*, 47(1), 355-363.

433 Mensah, P., Yeboah-Manu, D., Owusu-Darko, K., & Ablordey, A. (2002). Street foods in Accra,
434 Ghana: how safe are they? *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 80(7), 546-554.

435 Mohapatra, A. D., Rath, C., Dash, S., & Mishra, R. (2002). Microbiological evaluation of street
436 foods in Bhubaneswar. *Journal of food science and technology (Mysore)*, 39(1), 59-61.

437 Mosupye, F. M., & Von Holy, A. (2000). Microbiological hazard identification and exposure
438 assessment of street food vending in Johannesburg, South Africa. *International journal of*
439 *food microbiology*, 61(2-3), 137-145.

440 Muinde, O. K., & Kuria, E. (2005). Hygienic and sanitary practices of vendors of street foods in
441 Nairobi, Kenya. *African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development*, 5(1).

442 Muyanja, C., Nayiga, L., Brenda, N., & Nasinyama, G. (2011). Practices, knowledge and risk
443 factors of street food vendors in Uganda. *Food control*, 22(10), 1551-1558.

444 Nkosi, N. V., & Tabit, F. T. (2021). The food safety knowledge of street food vendors and the
445 sanitary conditions of their street food vending environment in the Zululand District,
446 South Africa. *Heliyon*, 7(7).

447 Nwachukwu, E., Ezeama, C., & Ezeanya, B. (2008). Microbiology of polyethylene-packaged
448 sliced watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus*) sold by street vendors in Nigeria. *African Journal of*
449 *Microbiology Research*, 2, 192-195.

450 Oranusi, S., & Olorunfemi, O. (2011). Microbiological safety evaluation of street vended ready-
451 to-eat fruits sold in Ota, Ogun state, Nigeria. *International Journal of Research in*
452 *Biological Sciences*, 1(3), 27-32.

- 453 Parveen, S., Ahmed, M. S. U., & Nasreen, T. (2008). Microbial Contamination of water in
454 around Dhaka city. *Bangladesh Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research*, 43(2), 273-
455 276.
- 456 Penteado, A. L., Eblen, B. S., & Miller, A. J. (2004). Evidence of Salmonella internalization into
457 fresh mangos during simulated postharvest insect disinfestation procedures. *Journal of*
458 *Food Protection*, 67(1), 181-184.
- 459 Qadri, O. S., Yousuf, B., & Srivastava, A. K. (2015). Fresh-cut fruits and vegetables: Critical
460 factors influencing microbiology and novel approaches to prevent microbial risks—A
461 review. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, 1(1), 1121606.
- 462 Rane, S. (2011). Street vended food in developing world: hazard analyses. *Indian journal of*
463 *microbiology*, 51(1), 100-106.
- 464 Ranka, S. (2020). How corona virus could affect the culture of eating special reference to street
465 food: the new normal. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*, 22(6), 1-7.
- 466 Rashed, N., Aftab, U. M., Azizul, H. M., Saurab, K., Mrityunjoy, A., & Majibur, R. (2013).
467 Microbiological study of vendor and packed fruit juices locally available in Dhaka city,
468 Bangladesh. *International food research Journal*, 20(2).
- 469 Salisbury, T. (2016). Education and inequality in South Africa: Returns to schooling in the post-
470 apartheid era. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 46, 43-52.
- 471 Samapundo, S., Climat, R., Xhaferi, R., & Devlieghere, F. (2015). Food safety knowledge,
472 attitudes and practices of street food vendors and consumers in Port-au-Prince, Haiti.
473 *Food control*, 50, 457-466.
- 474 Shayo, N., Chove, B., Gidamis, A., & Ngoma, O. (2007). The quality of water in small
475 community supplies of Kingolwira village, Morogoro, Tanzania. *Tanzania Journal of*
476 *Health Research*, 9(1), 56-60.
- 477 Tambekar, D., Murhekar, S., Dhanorkar, D., Gulhane, P., & Dudhane, M. (2009). Quality and
478 safety of street vended fruit juices: a case study of Amravati city, India. *Journal of*
479 *Applied Biosciences*, 14(1997–5902), 782-787.
- 480 Wei, Q.-K., Hwang, S.-L., & Chen, T.-R. (2006). Microbiological quality of ready-to-eat food
481 products in southern Taiwan. *Journal of Food and Drug Analysis*, 14(1), 17.
- 482 Were, L., Were, G., & Aduol, K. O. (2020). Hygiene Practices and Microbial Contamination of
483 Streetvended Foods in Kenyatta University's Environs.
- 484 WHO, G. (2005). Informal food distribution sector in Africa (street foods): importance and
485 challenges.

486