

1 Original Research Article

2
3 **Evaluation of Hygienic Practices and Microbiological Quality of Street Vended Fruit**
4 **Salads in Morogoro, Tanzania**
5
6

7 **ABSTRACT**

8 Street vended foods have gained popularity due to economic benefits. However, they have been
9 recognized as a potential hazard to public health as a result of poor hygienic practices. The study was
10 conducted to assess the hygienic practices and microbiological quality of street vended fruit salads vended
11 in Morogoro Municipal, Tanzania. A total of 30 respondents were involved in the study to assess of
12 quality of fruit salad vended by town street vendors (TSV), University cafeterias (UCV), and town
13 restaurants vendors (TRV). The findings revealed that most vendors (86.3%) were unaware of food safety,
14 73.3% were unaware of food safety standards and laws, and every seller evaluated was unaware of food
15 safety initiatives such as GMP and GHP and had never implemented any of them. All vendors saw the
16 doctor only when they were ill, but none of them had a quality registration certificate or had undergone
17 training in food safety and hygiene. Most of the salad preparation settings (46.7%) did not adhere to the
18 fundamental requirements of a food preparation facility, and the vending facilities were in disrepair. Piles
19 of dirty were observed in the food salad preparation and vending premises and 80% of the vendors used
20 uncovered waste bins that were observed to encourage pests such as flies and cockroaches in the
21 premises. The TAC ranged from 3.92 ± 0.31 to 4.29 ± 0.21 log CFU/g. All fruit salad samples were
22 contaminated with coliforms and the level exceeded 1.4×10^4 MPN/g of fruit salad samples indicating
23 poor hygiene and fecal contamination. Possible sources of contamination were found to be water quality,
24 cross-contamination, food handling and preparation equipment, and environmental factors such as dust,
25 pests, and air quality. According to the study's findings, the majority of fruit salad sellers in the study area
26 did not adhere to hygienic practices, and the made fruit salads were of poor microbiological quality,
27 putting consumers at risk for food safety.

28 **Key words:** Street food vendors, Microbial quality, Hygiene practices, fruit salad

29
30 **1. Introduction**

Comment [P1]: I think "All vendors saw the doctor only when they were ill" should be separated from the other sentence (none of them had a quality registration certificate or had undergone training in food safety and hygiene.)

Comment [P2]: coliform count

Comment [P3]: in

Comment [P4]: uppercase letter

Comment [P5]: UPPERCASE LETTER

31 Street foods are ready to eat foods and beverages prepared or sold by vendors or hawkers
32 especially in streets and other public places. Street foods may be the least expensive and most
33 accessible means of obtaining a nutritionally balanced meal outside the home for many low-
34 income people, provided the consumer is informed and able to choose the
35 appropriate combination of foods (FAO, 2013). Fruit salad is usually a combination of various
36 fresh fruits such as apples, water melon, pineapples, cucumber, pawpaw, and orange. They are
37 usually sliced into small pieces and packaged in small transparent plastic bowls. Salad can be
38 eaten with a fork or tooth pick, with or without milk added (Brooks, 2014). Fruits are an
39 extraordinary dietary source of nutrients, micronutrients, vitamins and fiber for humans and are
40 thus vital for health and well-being. Well balanced diets, rich in fruits are especially valuable for
41 their ability to prevent vitamin C and vitamin A deficiencies and are also reported to reduce the
42 risk of several diseases (Harrison, 2012). Regular consumption of fruits is associated with
43 reduced risks of cancer, cardiovascular disease (especially coronary heart disease), stroke,
44 Alzheimer's disease, cataracts, and some of the functional declines associated with ageing (Liu,
45 2003).

46 In Morogoro, fruit salad is prepared and sold in road side, bus terminals, university cafeterias,
47 recreational areas and around the streets. Various fruits used in preparing the fruit salad are
48 usually kept on the ground near the slicing tables without any form of protection, hence the
49 microbiological quality of the prepared fruit salads remain doubtful (Brooks, 2014). Fruits are
50 widely exposed to microbial contamination through contact with soil, dust and water, and by
51 handling at harvest or during post-harvest processing. Pathogenic microorganisms can also enter
52 the fruits through damaged surfaces, such as wounds, cuts, and splits. Such pathogens may
53 become internalised, survive, and grow within the fruit and consequently become a health hazard
54 to consumer (Penteado et al., 2004).

55 The number of documented outbreaks of human infections associated with the consumption of
56 raw fruits, vegetables, and unpasteurized fruit juices has increased in recent years (Bintsis,
57 2017). Unhygienic preparation, processing, and handling of raw fruit salads are the key sources
58 of bacterial contaminations that lead to the outbreak of foodborne diseases (Qadri et al., 2015).
59 There is high microbial contamination in raw fruit salads locally vended in various areas
60 including Morogoro municipality, something that poses a threat of foodborne diseases to
61 consumers. Street-vended fruit salads are commonly consumed by residents and visitors in the

Comment [P6]: Quotations within the text should be indicated with the reference number [3] in parentheses.

62 Morogoro municipality. Poor hygiene practices and the presence of microbial contaminants can
63 pose significant risks to public health, leading to foodborne illnesses. The conditions under
64 which some street vendors operate are reported to be unsuitable for the preparation and sale of
65 food (Barro et al., 2006). The place of preparation of fruit salads is not always clean, well lit, and
66 not far from the source of contamination. The preparation surfaces used by some vendors have
67 remnants of foods prepared earlier that can promote cross-contamination. Most of these foods are
68 not covered and are exposed to flies and dust, which may harbour food-borne pathogens(Rane,
69 2011). In about 70 to 90%of cases,the presence of animals, insects, and liquid wastes in food
70 preparation areas has been reported(Rane, 2011). Street vendors are mostly uninformed about
71 good hygienic practices (GHP) and food safety knowledge(Muyanja et al., 2011).

72 Street-vended fruit salads are commonly consumed by residents and visitors inMorogoro
73 municipality. Poor hygienicpractises and the presence of microbial contaminants can pose
74 significant risks to public health, leading to foodborne illnesses. Understanding the current
75 microbiological quality and hygienic practises is essential for the protection of public
76 health. There is therefore an urgent need to promote food safety practices in the production and
77 consumption of street vended foods, particularly in the developing countries where levels of
78 hygiene standards are questionable, in order to ensure that the health of the consumers is
79 safeguarded, and at the same time ensuring provision of nutritious, healthy and affordable foods
80 that are easily accessible to all. This study aimed to assess the hygienic practices and
81 microbiological quality of street vended fruit salads that are soldlocally in Morogoro
82 Municipality, Tanzania.

83 2. Materials and Methods

Comment [P7]: Should lean left
UPPERCASE LETTER

84 2.1 Study area and Sample collection

Comment [P8]: In the first subheadings, all
words must start with capital letters.

85 The study was carried out in the Morogoro Municipality, Tanzania. Samples of fruits salad were
86 randomly collected from the street fruit salad vendors in the afternoon after questionnaire
87 interview and observations are complete. The samples were kept in aseptic containers and stored
88 in a cool box containing sterile ice packs and taken for immediate analysis. The population
89 evaluated during the study was classified into three groups: town street vendors (TSV),
90 University cafeterias(UCV), and town restaurants vendors (TRV). A total of 30 respondents (10
91 respondents from each category of street vendors) were involved in the study.

92 2.2 Study Design

93 A cross-sectional and experimental research design were conducted. Initially, a survey of street
94 vendors doing business on the sides of the road, bus terminals, market areas, towncentre,
95 university cafeteria, and town restaurants was carried out to collect data on knowledge and
96 hygienic practices related to GMP and GHP by using a structured questionnaire. Possible sources
97 of contamination of fruit salads were observed using checklist. Thirty fruit salad samples were
98 collected from interviewed vendors for laboratory analysis of microbiological quality
99 parameters.

100 2.3 Data collection tools

101 Data collection tools were structured questionnaires and check list. A questionnaire was created
102 logically so that respondents may react quickly and sequentially. The whole questionnaire was
103 organized and separated into different sections: demographic information (such as gender, age,
104 educational level); knowledge about food safety and hygiene; and food safety; hygiene practices
105 of fruit salad vendors and type of infrastructure used in vending business. Check list was
106 organized into different sections including; hygienic condition of the vending environment,
107 personal hygiene of vendors and preparation and serving utensils. The questions regarding water
108 sources and treatment, fruit handling and fruit salad preparation methods, storage, serving
109 equipment and vending sites were administered to the respondents. The questions regarding water
110 sources and treatment, fruit handling and fruit salad preparation methods, storage, serving
111 equipment and vending sites were administered to the respondents. Salad preparation, handling
112 practices, storage of leftover fruit salad and salad storage practices were observed and noted.
113 Information was collected on the status of the premises, storage conditions for fruit salad, cutting
114 and cutting place, status of the serving plate, cleanliness of the cloth and clothing used, provision
115 for waste disposal and exposure to collected.

116 2.4 Microbiological Analysis

117 Fruit salad samples (25 g) were homogenized with a stomacher (Model H-2000C Shimadzu
118 Corp., Kyoto, Japan) in 90 ml peptone water for 2 minutes. Serial diluted samples were plated on
119 plate respective agars and incubated according to specifications for each microorganism. All
120 platings were done in triplicate and mean values were used (Were et al. 2020). Aerobic count of
121 viable organisms is a useful indicator of microbiological status of food. High counts indicate
122 unsatisfactory sanitation. The plate count agar was tempered to 45 °C then added to the plate and
123 mixed well to ensure even distribution of colony forming units after incubation. The plates were

Comment [P9]: In the first subheadings, all words must start with capital letters.

Comment [P10]: sentence repeated

Comment [P11]: e

Comment [P12]: Plate Count Agar (PCA)

124 labeled as per every dilution and incubated at 30 °C for 24-48 hrs. Plates with 30-300 colonies
125 were counted and the total count of viable organisms was calculated according to equation 1.

Comment [P13]: line spacing should be 1.5

126

127
$$\text{Number of bacteria in CFU/g} = \frac{\text{number of colonies} \times \text{reciprocal of dilution factor}}{\text{inoculum size (volume) in ml}} \dots\dots\dots \text{eqn. 1}$$

128 Most probable number (MPN) technique was used for enumeration of total coliform as in
129 (Horwitz, 1975). Samples were serial diluted from 10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁴. Three(3) tubes containing
130 MacConkey broth were inoculated with 1ml of appropriate dilution from each of the 10⁻² to 10⁻⁴
131 dilutions and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The number of tubes at each dilution level were
132 recorded in tables showing a positive reaction, that is growth, change in color and/ or gas
133 production in inverted Durham tubes (the highest dilution giving growth was used for
134 estimation). The MPN of coliforms/gram of fruit salad sample were then determined using
135 standard MPN tables for 3 tubes.

136 **2.6 Data analysis**

137 The collected data Status of Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP), Good Hygienic Practices
138 (GHP) and possible sources of contamination of street fruit salad were analysed using SPSS
139 (Statistical Package for Social Sciences), version 20. Descriptive statistics was used to determine
140 the percentage, mean and frequency of the variables.

Comment [P14]: Statistical analysis results should be displayed and interpreted in the text

141 **3. Results and Discussion**

Comment [P15]: UPPERCASE LETTER

142 **3.1. Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents**

143 The demographic characteristics of the fruit salad vendors on the streets, in the university
144 cafeterias, and restaurants are shown in Table 1. The results indicated that the majority of fruit
145 salad vendors were men (67%) while women were only (33%). The findings also showed that the
146 vendors had a relatively low level of education. The majority (73.3%) of the fruit salad vendors
147 had primary education, while 13.3% had acquired a secondary and college education.

Comment [P16]: parentheses should be removed(33%)

148 **Table 1 Demographic information of Fruit Salad Vendors**

Comment [P17]: Table 1.

Parameters	Category	Percentage (%)
Vendor type	town street vendors (TSV)	33.3 (10)
	town restaurants vendors (TRV)	33.3 (10)
	University cafeterias (UCV)	33.3 (10)

Comment [P18]: Uppercase letter

Comment [P19]: Uppercase letter

Gender	Male	67(20)
	Female	33(10)
Age range	15 -24 yrs.	0
	25 - 34 yrs.	80(24)
	35 - 44 yrs.	20(6)
	45 – 54 yrs.	0
	55 and above	0
Level of education	Informal education	0
	Primary	73.4(22)
	Secondary	13.3(4)
	College	13.3(4)
Marital status	Single	66.7(20)
	Married	33.3(10)

149 *Values in parentheses are number of respondents (n = 30)

150 Similarly, previous studies revealed that most street food vendors possess low educational
 151 training and often lack adequate food safety knowledge and skills (Benny- Olliviera & Badrie,
 152 2007; Salisbury, 2016; Samapundo et al., 2015). Most street vendors engaged in street food
 153 business due to lack of formal employment. A study by Mensah et al. (2002) found that street
 154 food vending was common in countries where unemployment of individuals was high due to lack
 155 of formal education. The low education level may be associated with poor manufacturing and
 156 hygiene practices during handling, storage and preparations of salads and juices which can
 157 increase the risk of street food contamination (Muyanja et al., 2011). The overall age profile
 158 showed that those with age ranging from 25 to 34 years constituted the largest proportion of
 159 vendors (80%) and respondents with the age 35 to 44 years were few (20%). Majority of the fruit
 160 salad vendors are unmarried (66.7%).

161 3.2. Food safety and hygiene knowledge of fruit salad vendors

162 The food safety knowledge and health characteristics of fruit salad vendors are shown in Table 2.
 163 Most of the fruit salad vendors (86.3%) were unfamiliar with the term 'food safety. In other
 164 countries such as Ireland, it was observed that some of the vendors did not know food safety
 165 concepts (Brennan et al., 2007). Most fruit salad vendors (73.3%) were also not familiar with
 166 food safety standards and regulations. All fruit salad vendors went for a medical check-up only

Comment [P20]: Poor production and hygiene practices during the processing, storage and preparation of salads and juices, which can increase the risk of contamination from street food, may be associated with low levels of education.

Comment [P21]: In the first subheadings, all words must start with capital letters.

Comment [P22]: In a study conducted in Ireland

167 when sick. A similar study carried out in Sudan urged that routine medical examination of food
168 handlers should be carried out by health officials in order to regulate safe street food handling,
169 preparation, and vending (Abdalla et al., 2009). All fruit salad vendors were not familiar with
170 food safety programmes, including GMP and GHP. This may be due to the fact that street
171 vendors are mostly uninformed of good hygienic practices (GHP) and food safety knowledge
172 (Muyanja et al., 2011). In current study, none of the fruit salad vendors had a quality registration
173 certificate or received training on hygiene and food safety (Table 2). Previous study carried out in
174 the Colombo municipal area of Sri Lanka revealed that the majority of street food vendors did
175 not have an authorized license or proper training in food safety practices (Galgamuwa et al.,
176 2016). Additionally, the present study by Nkosi and Tabit (2021) revealed that vast majority of the
177 respondents in their study did not possess a food service/hospitality qualification and the
178 majority of them had not attended any food safety training courses. Street food vendors require
179 food safety training to boost knowledge and skills in food safety implementation (Apanga et al.,
180 2014). It was explained that vendors had not attended a food safety course because of the low
181 frequency of available training courses and the high fees of food safety training courses (Madaki
182 and Bavorova, 2019). Therefore, there is an emerging need to provide proper training on food
183 safety practices and sanitary practices to street food vendors, as many of them are unaware of
184 GMP, and personal hygienic practices. Similar to the current study, Ranka (2020) reported the
185 key findings as observed in the WHO survey of street-vended foods. In their report, most
186 countries had insufficient inspection personnel, insufficient application of the HACCP concept,
187 and noted that registration, training, and medical examinations were not among selected
188 management strategies (Ranka, 2020). This might have led to the poor implementation of
189 hygienic rules and procedures for fruit salad preparation hence leading to poor microbiological
190 quality of fruit salads.

191

192 **Table 2: Food safety and hygiene knowledge of fruit salad vendors**

Comment [P23]: point

Parameters	Answer choices	Percentage (%)
Familiarization with the food safety program and implementation	Familiar and implement	0
	Not familiar/do not implement	100 (30)
Awareness of food safety standards and regulations	Aware	26.7 (8)
	Not aware	73.3 (22)
Familiarization with food safety terminology	Familiar	13.3 (4)
	Not familiar	86.7 (26)
Main way of dealing with left overs already prepared left overs	Use them for the next day	73.4 (22)
	Consume	0
	Refrigerate	26.6 (8)
	Give away	0
Main precaution taken in the entire fruit processing	Maintain general hygiene status	20 (6)
	Do nothing	0
	Don't know	80 (24)
Medical certification	Yes	13.3 (4)
	No	86.7 (26)
Training on hygiene and food safety	Trained	0
	Not trained	100 (30)
Do medical check-up frequently	Yes	0
	No	100 (30)
Quality registration certificate	Posses	0
	Do not posses	100 (30)

193 *Values in parentheses are number of respondents (n = 30)

194 **3.3 Types of infrastructure and equipment used in fruit salad handling**

Comment [P24]: In the first subheadings, all words must start with capital letters.

195 Table 3 shows the type of infrastructure used by the fruit salad vendors. All of the fruit salad
 196 vendors (100%) indicated that they had access to water and relied on the safety measures taken
 197 by suppliers (municipal tap water supply), while none of the fruit salad vendors treated the
 198 water. This is similar to observations made in Sudan on the use of poor-quality water by vendors
 199 where it was noted by Abdalla et al. (2009) that the main source of water used was municipal tap
 200 water.

201

202

203 **Table 3: Types of infrastructure and equipment used**

Comment [P25]: point

Parameters	Answer choices	Percentage (%)
Water availability	Yes	100 (30)
	No	0
Source of water for processing	Deep wells	0
	Municipal tap	100 (30)
How is water ensured safe	Don't treat	100 (30)
	Boil	0
	Add disinfectant	0
	Filter	0
Availability of toilets	Yes	66.7 (20)
	No	33.3 (10)
Hand washing facilities	Yes	100 (30)
	No	0
Cooling facilities	Refrigeration	33.3 (10)
	Cool box	0
	Cooling dispenser	0
	None	66.7 (20)
Waste disposal available	Yes	53.3 (16)
	No	46.7 (14)
Type of waste disposal	Waste bin	60 (18)
	Pit/ earth hole	40 (12)
	Plastic bag	0
	Plastic bucket	0
Processing gear	Hair net/ cap	33.3 (10)
	Gloves	0
	Apron/ Processing coat	0
	None	66.7 (20)

204 *Values in parentheses are number of respondents (n = 30)

205 Although there is current results describing the bacteriological quality Morogoro municipal
 206 water, previous studies carried out in Kingolwirasuburb in Morogoro indicated that the water
 207 used was of poor quality and highly contaminated and needed proper treatment before
 208 consumption(Shayo et al., 2007).If the situation remains as it was reported by Shayo et al. (2007)
 209 or improved still using un-treated water poses a health danger to the consumer. Most of fruit

210 salad vendors (66.7%) had access to the toilets, while few (33.3%) had no access to the toilets.
211 This was similar to the study conducted by Abdalla et al. (2009) which reported that clean water
212 supply and hand washing or toilet facilities are not available to food street vendors. Most fruit
213 salad vendors used waste bins for disposal of waste (60%) only 40% used Pit/ earth hole for
214 disposal of waste. A large proportion of fruit salad vendors (66.7%) did not have processing
215 clothing, while 33.3% of fruit salad vendors wore hair nets / caps in conjunction with aprons.
216 This indicated presence of poor infrastructure facilities used by vendors which might lead to
217 more cross contamination. All vendors did not wear gloves, although gloves could be hazardous
218 if not properly used. Similarly, street vendors in Uganda also were observed to have variable
219 poor infrastructure for preparation of foods (Muyanja et al., 2011).

220 **3.4 Hygienic condition of the Vending Environment**

221 The results of the hygienic conditions of the vending environment are presented in Table 4. The
222 status of the vending place was in poor condition among the vendors visited (46.7%), and most
223 preparation settings did not meet the basic standards for a food preparation premises. During the
224 survey, piles of dirty items were seen in the fruit salad preparation and vending areas. Moreover,
225 (80%) of the vendors used uncovered garbage cans that were observed to encourage pests such
226 as flies and cockroaches in the premises. This is contrary to Codex general requirements for food
227 hygiene which recommend that, a place for food preparation should be kept clean at all times and
228 should be far from any source of contamination (rubbish, waste water, dust and animals). Such
229 similar observations were also recorded in other studies by Muinde and Kuria (2005) and
230 Chukwu et al. (2010). This situation is likely to be contributed by limited hygiene education and
231 inadequate food and premises inspections by health and food inspectors who would otherwise
232 stop such food mishandling practices. The results indicate that (66.7%) of the vendors had
233 dustbins present in their stalls but only 20% of those vendors covered them as a form of practice,
234 and 53.3% of those dustbins were overfilled at the time of visit. Waste water and refuse were
235 also not disposed properly (waste water and dirt near the stalls) by about 73.3% of the stalls. The
236 working surfaces were generally not clean with visible dirt in (66.7%) of the stalls visited. The
237 results are similar to a study carried out by Barro et al. (2006) in which conditions under which
238 some street vendors operate have been reported to be unsuitable for the preparation and selling of
239 food.

240

Comment [P26]: Uppercase letter

Comment [P27]: Should be removed

241 **Table 4: Hygienic Condition of the Vending Environment**

General profile	Answer choices	Percentage (%)
Status of vending place	Good condition	20 (6)
	Average condition	33.3 (10)
	Bad condition	46.7 (14)
Building surface washable and working space cleanable	Yes	33.3 (10)
	No	66.7 (20)
Environmental surroundings of street fruit salad vendors	Garbage and waste near stall	73.3 (22)
	Garbage and waste far from stall	26.7 (8)
Presence of garbage receptacles/dustbins	Yes	66.7 (20)
	No	33.3 (10)
Dustbin covered	Yes	20 (6)
	No	80 (24)
Waste and refuse disposed of properly (no waste water or refuse near stalls).	Yes	26.7 (8)
	No	73.3 (22)
Preparation surfaces (wiped, no visible dirt)	Clean	40 (12)
	Dirty	60 (18)
Dustbin overfilled during the time of the visit	No	46.7 (14)
	Yes	53.3 (16)

242 *Values in parentheses are number of respondents (n = 30)

243 **3.5. Personal hygiene of fruit salad vendors**

244 The results of the vendor personal hygiene are presented in Table 5. Parameters assessed for
 245 personal hygiene were; if a worker wears suitable clean clothes for processing, no decorative
 246 articles or jewelry, cleanliness of hands and nails, washing of hands before and after work, usage
 247 of gloves, hair net/ hat and handling of fruits. In the current study, the general practices of the
 248 fruit salad vendors towards fruit handling, juice preparation, and display for sell were found to be
 249 poor. The general hygiene of the fruit salad vendors and the premises was also poor and were
 250 observed to encourage contamination of the juices in the aspects of washing fruits and cleaning
 251 of utensils, preparation methods, storage of the juices and the general hygiene. Most vendors

Comment [P28]: point

Comment [P29]: The first letters of words must be lowercase

Comment [P30]: In the first subheadings, all words must start with capital letters.

252 93.3% did not wear processing gears such as aprons, gloves, or hair net, which could be a great
 253 source of cross contamination. Also 80% of the vendors handle money while serving the fruits
 254 which is also a source of cross contamination. It was also found that (66.7%) of the vendors
 255 handled the fruits with their bare hands. The hands of the food handlers have been reported to be
 256 the most important vehicle for the transfer of organisms from environment (food surfaces), nose
 257 and skin to the food (Rane, 2011). This supports the reports of contamination of street vended
 258 fruit salads with toxigenic *S. aureus*, the major being suppurative lesions of human beings and
 259 the environment (Mohapatra et al., 2002). A study conducted on hand rinses, stored water, and
 260 source waters in Bagamoyo Tanzania indicated that both water and hands are important means of
 261 transmission of bacterial pathogens (Mattioli et al., 2013). Majority of street vendors did not
 262 comply with the general codes of hygiene as laid by national and Codex Alimentarius
 263 requirements. This emphasises the importance of training street vendors on the importance of
 264 personal hygiene to avoid contamination of food (James, 2006).

Comment [P31]: *Staphylococcus*

265 **Table 5: Personal hygiene of fruit salad vendors**

Comment [P32]: point

General profile	Answer choices	Percentage (%)
Vendors wash fruits before processing	Yes	73.3 (22)
	No	26.7 (8)
Wash your hands before or after fruit preparation	Yes	66.7 (20)
	No	33.3 (10)
Use aprons or uniforms	Yes	6.7 (2)
	No	93.3 (28)
Handle fruits with bare hands	Yes	66.7 (20)
	No	33.3 (10)
Short nails and clean hands	Yes	60 (18)
	No	40 (12)

Vendor wearing jewelry	Yes	20 (6)
	No	80 (24)
Handle money while serving the fruits	Yes	80 (24)
	No	20 (6)

266 *Values in parentheses are number of respondents (n = 30)

267 **3.6. Preparation and serving utensils**

268 Results of preparation and serving utensils used in fruit salad preparation are presented in Table
 269 6. Washing of the utensils was poorly done using cold water, without detergents. It was also
 270 observed that reusing the water that had been used several times was a common practice.
 271 Approximately 60% of the fruit salad vendors were found to predispose the fruits and utensils to
 272 contamination by poor methods of washing. The prepared fruit salads were not handled with care
 273 due to poor handling of the salads. These results of current study are similar to the previous study
 274 conducted by Muyanja et al. (2011), which reaffirm the findings of WHO in year 1984 that street
 275 vendors in various parts of the world are known to wash their utensils including those in which
 276 food has been served, in water that has been previously used, perhaps many times. The use of
 277 same water for several times a day creates an environment for cross contamination from dirty
 278 rinse water to ready prepared fruit salads with the rinsed plate (WHO, 2005).

279 The findings show that the majority of vendors washed their utensils in basins that were also
 280 used to prepare fruit salads, which could lead to cross-contamination. Additionally, some already
 281 produced fruit salads (46.7%) were not stored in sealed containers, which permitted pest and bug
 282 infestation. On the other hand, the water used to wash the utensils was reused numerous times
 283 before being changed. The fruit salad was likely contaminated as a result of the utensils being
 284 washed in such filthy water. The results are consistent with those of Tambekar et al. (2009) and
 285 Cardinale et al. (2005), which also reported unclean habits.

286 **Table 6: Preparation and Serving Utensils**

Parameters	Answer choices	Percentage (%)
Prepared salads kept in sealed conditions	Yes	53.3(16)
	No	46.7(14)
Modes of cleaning utensils	No cleaning	0
	Cold water &	40(12)

Comment [P33]: In the first subheadings, all words must start with capital letters.

Comment [P34]: point

Comment [P35]: The first letters of words must be lowercase

	soap	
	Cold water	60(18)
	Hot water	0
Utensils in good working conditions	Yes	60(18)
	No	40(12)
The basin for washing utensils is also used for fruit Salad preparation	Yes	33.3(10)
	No	66.7(20)
The prepared fruit salads handled carefully	Yes	40(12)
	No	60(18)
Basin for washing utensils also used for fruit salad preparation	Yes	60(18)
	No	40(12)

287 *Values in parentheses are number of respondents (n = 30)

288 3.7. Microbial quality of fruit salad

289 The microbial quality of the fruit salads samples from town street vendors (TSV), town
 290 restaurants vendors (TRV) and university cafeteria vendor (UCV) were evaluated in terms of
 291 Total Aerobic Count (TAC) and Total coliform counts (Table 7). The present investigation
 292 reveals a high microbial load in the fruit salad studied. Results for the total aerobic count from
 293 fruit salad vendors show that a large proportion of the samples had high total aerobic counts
 294 ranging from 5.05×10^3 to 2.11×10^4 CFU/g of fruit salad homogenate. Furthermore, the fruit
 295 salads served in the town restaurants had high Total Aerobic Counts (TAC) of 2.11×10^4 CFU/g
 296 followed by those which were vended along the town streets with 1.92×10^4 CFU/g. University
 297 cafeteria recorded the lowest amount of total aerobic count of and 5.05×10^3 CFU/g among the
 298 other tested groups (Table 7).

300 **Table 7:** Microbial quality of fruit salad vended at town, restaurant and University
 301 cafeteria

Street Vendor Category	TAC		Total Coliforms (MPN/g)
	CFU/g	Log CFU/g	
Town Street Vendors (TSV)	1.92×10^4	4.25±0.18	$\geq 1.4 \times 10^4$
Town Restaurants Vendors (TRV)	2.11×10^4	4.29±0.21	$\geq 1.4 \times 10^4$

Comment [P36]: In the first subheadings, all words must start with capital letters.

Comment [P37]: point

University Cafeteria Vendor (UCV) 5.05×10^3 3.92 ± 0.31 $\geq 1.4 \times 10^4$

302

303 The higher total aerobic count recorded on the salads served in the town streets and restaurants
304 would be due to poor compliance with hygiene practices among vendors and contamination by
305 moving vehicles, as well as dust particles, smoke generated, and crowds of people. These
306 findings are more similar to previous studies by Nwachukwu et al. (2008), Farzana et al. (2011),
307 Oranusi and Olorunfemi (2011) who recorded microbial load in fruits in the range of 10^4 - 10^9
308 CFU/ml. The presence of these microorganisms can be linked to a number of risk factors such as
309 improper handling and processing, use of contaminated water during washing and dilution, cross
310 contamination from rotten fruits, or the use of dirty processing utensils such as knife, flies, and
311 trays, which are relevant with the study of Parveen et al. (2008) and Rashed et al. (2013). Also,
312 the presence of these organisms in high numbers in fruit salad is of serious safety concern about
313 the consumption of street vended foods. Some of the organisms encountered in this study are
314 similar to those reported in similar studies from different countries (Edward et al., 2012; Mahale
315 et al., 2008; Rashed et al., 2013).

316 Regarding the total count of coliforms, it was established that all fruit salad samples were
317 contaminated since none of the samples registered 0.00 MPN/g (not detected status) as the
318 lowest range value expected for vended fruit salads. The coliform concentration in the fruit
319 samples amount to more than 1.4×10^4 MPN/g indicating poor hygiene and fecal contamination.
320 The results of a study conducted on street vended foods in Sudan and South Africa also indicated
321 high counts of coliforms (Abdalla et al., 2009; Mosupye & Von Holy, 2000). It has been
322 recommended that no sample should contain more than 10 coliform organisms per 100 ml (FAO
323 / WHO, 1997), in which all samples tested had higher coliform counts than the maximum
324 recommended limits, meaning that the fruit salads are of poor microbiological quality.

325 According to Bakobie et al. (2017) and Halablab et al. (2011), the total coliform counts in food
326 samples are a sign of faecal contamination and poor hygiene procedures by food sellers. Since
327 certain coliforms, particularly *E. coli*, are frequently found in high concentrations in feces from
328 both humans and animals, the presence of these coliforms could also be linked to faecal
329 contamination. When consumed by people, certain *E. coli* strains in food might result in
330 gastroenteritis and diarrhea (Akter, 2016). In this study the coliform counts observed for the fruit

331 salads (Table 7) could suggest a high level of background faecal contamination of the fruit salads
332 sold to the consumers in Morogoro. Total coliform contamination in food groups can be
333 attributed to improper processing, the use of contaminated water during preparation and washing,
334 or secondary contamination through food handlers' skin infections and nose nares as well as
335 contact with contaminated tools like cutting boards, knives, and serving wares (Wei et al., 2006).
336 Codex Alimentarius on the safety of fresh juices recommends taking precedent and strict limits on
337 production, harvest, transportation, storage, manufacture, processing, labeling and distribution.
338 These are incorporated into Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs) and Good Manufacturing
339 Practices (GMPs) with Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) procedures being
340 applied throughout the food chain (Bates et al., 2001).

341 **3.8 Possible Sources of Contamination of Street Fruit Salad**

342 The survey in current study revealed that fruit salads were particularly vulnerable to a number of
343 microbial contamination sources, including the use of low-quality water to wash the fruits, cross-
344 contamination with other vegetables and fruits, or the use of filthy processing tools like knives,
345 cutting sticks, slicing tables, and trays, as well as negligent food handling procedures like
346 insufficient hand washing, improper storage temperature, and improper ingredient storage.
347 Cutting boards, knives, counters, and food storage containers are examples of items and surfaces
348 that might harbor bacteria if they haven't been well cleaned and sterilized (Wei et al., 2006).

349 **4. Conclusions**

350 In conclusion, fruit salad vended in Morogoro town street, university cafeteria, and town
351 restaurants exhibited high levels of microbiological contamination and as a result did not adhere
352 to Tanzania national or Codex Alimentarius food safety regulations. The results of current study
353 attributed subpar processing and poor hygiene practices to cross contamination rendering the
354 product unfit for consumption. The preparation practises and selling environments were
355 unsatisfactory and unhygienic. Additionally unsafe, the processing water appeared to taint the
356 fruit salads. Samples had significant coliform counts, which indicated poor microbiological
357 quality and the potential for food illness. It can be concluded that most fruit salad vendors in
358 Morogoro municipality did not follow hygienic procedures, and that the manufactured fruit
359 salads were of poor microbiological quality. The findings demonstrate how easily contaminated
360 fruit salads growing on the street can become. Consumers of fruit salad are exposed to the danger
361 of contracting a food borne illness as a result of these organisms, which are known to cause

Comment [P38]: uppercase letter

362 gastrointestinal ailments and may have entered the product through improper processing.
363 Authors recommends that development of unique food safety messages tailored to vendor
364 mindsets and measurement of the efficacy of the provided food safety messages require
365 additional tactics in addition to food safety sensitization or education, which may be beneficial.

366

REFERENCES

- 367 Abdalla, M., Suliman, S., & Bakhiet, A. (2009). Food safety knowledge and practices of street
368 foodvenders in Atbara City (Naher Elneel State Sudan). *African Journal of*
369 *Biotechnology*, 8(24).
- 370 Akter, N. (2016). *Study on Bacteriological Quality of Street-vended Foods Collected from*
371 *Different Private Universities in Dhaka City, Bangladesh* East West University, Dhaka,
372 Bangladesh].
- 373 Apanga, S., Addah, J., & Sey, D. R. (2014). Food safety knowledge and practice of street food
374 vendors in rural Northern Ghana. *Food and Public Health*, 4(3), 99-103.
- 375 Bakobie, N., Addae, A. S., Duwiejuah, A. B., Cobbina, S. J., & Miniyila, S. (2017). Microbial
376 profile of common spices and spice blends used in Tamale, Ghana. *International journal*
377 *of food contamination*, 4, 1-5.
- 378 Barro, N., Bello, A. R., Savadogo, A., Ouattara, C. A. T., & Iiboudo, A. (2006). Hygienic status
379 assessment of dish washing waters, utensils, hands and pieces of money from street food
380 processing sites in Ouagadougou (Burkina Faso). *African Journal of Biotechnology*,
381 5(11).
- 382 Bates, R., Morris, J., & Crandall, P. (2001). Principles and practices of small-and medium-scale
383 fruit juice processing. Food and Agriculture Organization. *Agricultural Services Bulletin*,
384 146, 135-149.
- 385 Benny- Olliviera, C., & Badrie, N. (2007). Hygienic practices by vendors of the street food
386 “doubles” and public perception of vending practices in Trinidad, West Indies. *Journal of*
387 *Food Safety*, 27(1), 66-81.
- 388 Bintsis, T. (2017). Foodborne pathogens. *AIMS microbiology*, 3(3), 529.
- 389 Brennan, M., McCarthy, M., & Ritson, C. (2007). Why do consumers deviate from best
390 microbiological food safety advice? An examination of ‘high-risk’ consumers on the
391 island of Ireland. *Appetite*, 49(2), 405-418.
- 392 Brooks, A. (2014). Evaluation of microbial contamination of street-vended fruit salad in Calabar,
393 Nigeria. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*, 3(7), 1040-1046.
- 394 Cardinale, E., Gros-Claude, J. P., Tall, F., Gueye, E., & Salvat, G. (2005). Risk factors for
395 contamination of ready-to-eat street-vended poultry dishes in Dakar, Senegal.
396 *International journal of food microbiology*, 103(2), 157-165.
- 397 Chukwu, C., Chukwu, I., Onyimba, I., Umoh, E., Olarubofin, F., & Olabode, A. (2010).
398 Microbiological quality of pre-cut fruits on sale in retail outlets in Nigeria.
- 399 Edeghor, U., John, G., & Origbu, C. (2019). Bacteriological profile of cut fruits sold in Calabar
400 Metropolis. *World News of Natural Sciences*, 23.
- 401 Edward, K., Umoh, E., & Eze, V. (2012). Microbial Quality of already prepared fruit salad sold
402 in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. *J Biol Agri Health*, 2(11), 74-79.
- 403 FAO (2013). *Food for the cities: Street foods*, Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome, Italy,
404 2013, <http://www.fao.org/fcit/food-processing/street-foods/en/>.

405 Galgamuwa, L. S., Iddawela, D., & Dharmaratne, S. D. (2016). Knowledge and practices of food
406 hygiene among food handlers in plantation sector, Sri Lanka. *International Journal of*
407 *Scientific Reports*, 2(12), 304-311.

408 Farzana, K., Akram, M. R., & Mahmood, S. (2011). Prevalence and antibiotics susceptibility
409 patterns of some bacterial isolates from a street vended fruit product. *African Journal of*
410 *Microbiology Research*, 5(11), 1277-1284.

411 Halablab, M., Sheet, I., & Holail, H. (2011). Microbiological quality of raw vegetables grown in
412 Bekaa Valley, Lebanon. *American Journal of Food Technology*, 6(2), 129-139.

413 Harrison, F. E. (2012). A critical review of vitamin C for the prevention of age-related cognitive
414 decline and Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 29(4), 711-726.

415 Horwitz, W. (1975). *Official methods of analysis* (Vol. 222). Association of Official Analytical
416 Chemists Washington, DC.

417 James, J. (2006). Overview of microbial hazards in fresh fruit and vegetables operations.
418 *Microbial hazard identification in fresh fruit and vegetables*, 1-36.

419 Liu, R. H. (2003). Health benefits of fruit and vegetables are from additive and synergistic
420 combinations of phytochemicals. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*, 78(3), 517S-
421 520S.

422 Madaki, M. Y., & Bavorova, M. (2019). Food safety knowledge of food vendors of higher
423 educational institutions in Bauchi state, Nigeria. *Food Control*, 106, 106703.

424 Mahale, D. P., Khade, R. G., & Vaidya, V. K. (2008). Microbiological analysis of street vended
425 fruit juices from Mumbai city, India. *Internet Journal of Food Safety*, 10(9), 31-34.

426 Mattioli, M. C., Pickering, A. J., Gilsdorf, R. J., Davis, J., & Boehm, A. B. (2013). Hands and
427 water as vectors of diarrheal pathogens in Bagamoyo, Tanzania. *Environmental science*
428 *& technology*, 47(1), 355-363.

429 Mensah, P., Yeboah-Manu, D., Owusu-Darko, K., & Ablordey, A. (2002). Street foods in Accra,
430 Ghana: how safe are they? *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 80(7), 546-554.

431 MOHAPATRA, A. D., Rath, C., Dash, S., & Mishra, R. (2002). Microbiological evaluation of
432 street foods in Bhubaneswar. *Journal of food science and technology (Mysore)*, 39(1),
433 59-61.

434 Mosupye, F. M., & Von Holy, A. (2000). Microbiological hazard identification and exposure
435 assessment of street food vending in Johannesburg, South Africa. *International journal of*
436 *food microbiology*, 61(2-3), 137-145.

437 Muinde, O. K., & Kuria, E. (2005). Hygienic and sanitary practices of vendors of street foods in
438 Nairobi, Kenya. *African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development*, 5(1).

439 Muyanja, C., Nayiga, L., Brenda, N., & Nasinyama, G. (2011). Practices, knowledge and risk
440 factors of street food vendors in Uganda. *Food control*, 22(10), 1551-1558.

441 Nkosi, N. V., & Tabit, F. T. (2021). The food safety knowledge of street food vendors and the
442 sanitary conditions of their street food vending environment in the Zululand District,
443 South Africa. *Heliyon*, 7(7).

444 Nwachukwu, E., Ezeama, C., & Ezeanya, B. (2008). Microbiology of polyethylene-packaged
445 sliced watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus*) sold by street vendors in Nigeria. *African Journal of*
446 *Microbiology Research*, 2, 192-195.

447 Oranusi, S., & Olorunfemi, O. (2011). Microbiological safety evaluation of street vended ready-
448 to-eat fruits sold in Ota, Ogun state, Nigeria. *International Journal of Research in*
449 *Biological Sciences*, 1(3), 27-32.

- 450 Parveen, S., Ahmed, M. S. U., & Nasreen, T. (2008). Microbial Contamination of water in
451 around Dhaka city. *Bangladesh Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research*, 43(2), 273-
452 276.
- 453 Penteado, A. L., Eblen, B. S., & Miller, A. J. (2004). Evidence of Salmonella internalization into
454 fresh mangos during simulated postharvest insect disinfestation procedures. *Journal of*
455 *Food Protection*, 67(1), 181-184.
- 456 Qadri, O. S., Yousuf, B., & Srivastava, A. K. (2015). Fresh-cut fruits and vegetables: Critical
457 factors influencing microbiology and novel approaches to prevent microbial risks—A
458 review. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, 1(1), 1121606.
- 459 Rane, S. (2011). Street vended food in developing world: hazard analyses. *Indian journal of*
460 *microbiology*, 51(1), 100-106.
- 461 Ranka, S. (2020). How corona virus could affect the culture of eating special reference to street
462 food: the new normal. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*, 22(6), 1-7.
- 463 Rashed, N., Aftab, U. M., Azizul, H. M., Saurab, K., Mrityunjy, A., & Majibur, R. (2013).
464 Microbiological study of vendor and packed fruit juices locally available in Dhaka city,
465 Bangladesh. *International food research Journal*, 20(2).
- 466 Salisbury, T. (2016). Education and inequality in South Africa: Returns to schooling in the post-
467 apartheid era. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 46, 43-52.
- 468 Samapundo, S., Climat, R., Xhaferi, R., & Devlieghere, F. (2015). Food safety knowledge,
469 attitudes and practices of street food vendors and consumers in Port-au-Prince, Haiti.
470 *Food control*, 50, 457-466.
- 471 SGMRL, W., & Gunasena, G. (2016). Microbiological quality of ready-to-eat meat based food
472 available in temporary food outlets in Gall Face Green, Colombo, Sri Lanka.
473 *International Journal of Agriculture Forestry and Plantation*, 4, 38-44.
- 474 Shayo, N., Chove, B., Gidamis, A., & Ngoma, O. (2007). The quality of water in small
475 community supplies of Kingolwira village, Morogoro, Tanzania. *Tanzania Journal of*
476 *Health Research*, 9(1), 56-60.
- 477 Tambekar, D., Murhekar, S., Dhanorkar, D., Gulhane, P., & Dudhane, M. (2009). Quality and
478 safety of street vended fruit juices: a case study of Amravati city, India. *Journal of*
479 *Applied Biosciences*, 14(1997–5902), 782-787.
- 480 Wei, Q.-K., Hwang, S.-L., & Chen, T.-R. (2006). Microbiological quality of ready-to-eat food
481 products in southern Taiwan. *Journal of Food and Drug Analysis*, 14(1), 17.
- 482 Were, L., Were, G., & Aduol, K. O. (2020). Hygiene Practices and Microbial Contamination of
483 Streetvended Foods in Kenyatta University's Environs.
- 484 WHO, G. (2005). Informal food distribution sector in Africa (street foods): importance and
485 challenges.

486

Comment [P39]: The reference writing rules specified in the journal should be taken into account. For example "Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. Fare embriyosundaparmakfüzyonuüzerinebirçal işma. *Clin Exp Alerjisi*. 2002;32(4):489-98."

"Edeghor, U., John, G., & Origbu, C. (2019). Bacteriological profile of cut fruits sold in Calabar Metropolis. *World News of Natural Sciences*, 23."

There isn't this reference in text

MOHAPATRA and SGMRL should be lower case