

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_103809</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>CODE SWITCHING AND CODE MIXING ON SOCIAL MEDIA WRITING SKILLS AMONG UNIVERSITY UNDERGRADUATES IN EKITI STATE, NIGERIA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.This research explores the linguistic phenomena of code switching and code mixing, wherein people switch between two or more languages in their communication. It investigates how this phenomena influences the writing skills of the students not only in Nigeria but also around the world. The researcher addresses an interesting and relevant issue that deserves attention.</p> <p>2. Yes. The title highlight the critical aspect of the academic and professional developments and clearly state the scope of the study.</p> <p>3. In the abstract, the researcher has highlighted the flow of the research protocol and three types of sampling methods have been used namely purposive sampling method; proportional sampling method and random sampling methods nevertheless it would be more convincing if the researcher managed to state the result of each sampling methods and the rationale in applying such methods.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, however it raises some concern as belowed:  a. There are nine objectives in this manuscript which are too many  b. There is no consistency between objectives and hypotheses  Therefore, I would like to suggest that the reduction of the objectives is essential as it will make the manuscript convey the finding more effectively.  The strength of this manuscript, the researcher has manage to collect a big sample size data and cross university, this method will yield more reliable results .</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6.The references of this manuscript are within 10 years however it would be better if the researchers could find some current references or the report of English test result including communication test of the country to prove the impact of the code switching and code mixing.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Likert scale ( Taiping error)</p> <p>Question 6 &amp; 7 there is a contra</p> <p>Good effort, well done!</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Lim Seng</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Penang Matriculation College, Malaysia</b>