

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_107258
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY OF ELECTRONIC RESOURCES OF AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY SPECIAL REFERENCE TO RAJASTHAN, INDIA
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community. It could have been more informative if some comparison between physical resources and e-resources was done then emphasis laid on e-resources.</p> <p>The title can be rephrased to read, "ELECTRONIC RESOURCES IN AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITIES, A CASE STUDY OF RAJASTHAN, INDIA"</p> <p>The abstract is fairly ok however it can be enhanced to capture most aspects of the entire paper. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate; the author could consider replacing the section titled "necessity of the study" with a more scholarly section title.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct. The references are fairly recent.</p> <p>The manuscript gives unnecessary details about universities like names of top university officers who are subject to change from time to time. The author should just focus on e-resources and perhaps mention something about their adequacy and utilization. The format of reporting per institution should also be consistent. There is need to have some recommendations based on findings of the study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The language of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Robert Kati
Department, University & Country	Kibabii University, Kenya