

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_106028</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Fertility status of soil and nutrients content of spring groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.) as influenced by gypsum, nitrogen and Phosphorus application</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Scientific article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes The item of the manuscript is very interesting, because it gives more information on the use of gypsum in combination with other mineral nutrients for groundnut in an alkaline soil. The objective of the work was clearly indicated by the author, that is the development of a nutrient management strategy to achieve the potential production. In my opinion the obtained results respond and contributes to this goal.</p> <p>Yes but I prefer and I suggest to the author this one: Effect of gypsum, nitrogen and phosphorus applications on soil status fertility and on nutrients content and yield of Spring groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.)</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Reference section needs modification to add with recent references.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Some of the references are in the references list but not in the text</li> <li>- Sharma M.L. (1971) not in the text</li> <li>- Hassain <i>et al.</i>(2007) in the references list but not in the text</li> <li>- Kumar <i>et al.</i> (2000) in the referenes list but not in the text</li> <li>- Srinidhi N.( 2000) in the references list but not in the text</li> <li>- Grichar et al (2002) and not Grichar (2002)</li> <li>- Williams (1979) or (1994)?????</li> </ul> <p>The language is suitable for scholarly communications BUT some paragraphs must be summarized Mainly in the Materials and methods and in Results and discussions paragraphs; also the references have to be completed.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- No traces of fig 1a; fig 1b and Fig1c in the text</li> </ul> <p>The manuscript will be more interesting with a schem or diagram that summarizes the effects of the different applications both on the soil status and fertility and on the plant.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zakia Bouzoubaa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Institute of Agronomical Research, Morocco</b>