

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_103530
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review of Building Community Resilience for Stress Free Future Farming
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>7. Line spacing</p> <p>8. Lack of sources (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community. The reviews from this article clearly explain the problems associated with farming in India and how the farmers can practice stress-free farming in the future. Applying the suggestions in the India agricultural sector will increase employment generation, per capita income, output growth and reduce poverty and losses amongst farming</p> <p>Yes, the title is suitable for the contents.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The researcher should ensure that the line spacing is uniform</p> <p>Some of the statistics and projections in the body of work do not have sources.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The researcher has done a good job. However, in the introduction, the researcher failed to explain the motivation for the study which is necessary.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Oladipo Abimbola Oluwaseun</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria</b>