

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_106372
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring Motivation in Organizational Behavior: A Critical Review
Type of the Article	Review Articles

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>A Critical Review sounds too cliché and the discussion of review is not that critical. Maybe just a review</p> <p>No. Too many things without proper explanation.</p> <p>Acceptable</p> <p>No. The methodology of the articles review is not appropriate. Should explain more on the characteristics of the articles chosen, the keywords, the respondents of the study and etc. It should be analysed according to themes such as factors of motivation, theories of motivation, impact of motivation and so on. Then, you can categorize which paper would be in each theme and you can see the insight of each study to the motivation. The discussion provided basically just inform what the articles is all about. It should be how each paper brings value or information to the theory. Please read more on the methodology of systematic literature review.</p> <p>The references might be sufficient but not recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Please check grammar.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nabila Huda Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	Institute of Advanced Studies, Universiti Malaya, Malaysia