

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JESBS_106055</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Self-Directed Learning Approach in Teaching Biology to Grade Nine Students</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is important since it brings innovation in the teaching of Biology in Bhutan</p> <p>Yes, the title is suitable</p> <p>Yes, it is</p> <p>Yes they are</p> <p>The study does not align well. There is a problem of misalignment between the research questions and the topic. As well, the manner in which the concept of SDL is introduced in the background section does not flow well into the issues discussed before. May the author rephrase the questions so that they align with the topic</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>NO. The author needs to vigorously edit the language particularly in the background section. There are many problems of sentence structures, tense as well as the incorrect use of transitional markers which hampered on clear communication. The author is advised to edit their work</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Kananelo Sylvester Moea</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lesotho College of Education, Lesotho</b>