

The Impact of Roof Coating and Solar PV System in the Tropical Region of Ghana

ABSTRACT

Adding PV module to roof has impacts on building's electricity energy consumption. The aim of this paper is to assess the energy consumption performance of buildings by integrating solar Photovoltaic (PV) system into buildings with roof coating. An experiment was conducted to verify the efficient outcome of PV module using a building from the Anaji area of Takoradi in the Western region of Ghana. A framework energy model was proposed to analyse the integrated contribution of coating and PV performance using PVSOL. The temperature of the coated roof surfaces underneath the PV panels were significantly lower than that of the exposed roof in the daytime. The system integrated energy efficiency for flat and tilted overhead PV roofs were 63.35 % and 62.73 %, respectively. Using the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) performance criterion, the monthly energy savings for coated roofs with solar PV is 28.86 kW or GH¢ 340.21; while the monthly energy savings for coated roofs without PV is 25.91 kW or GH¢ 303.00. Overall, the proposed integrated coated roof with PV outperforms the coated roof without PV. Validating the model, the mean relative errors (MRE) were all below 10%, while the accuracy of Power-Added Efficiency (PAE) were all beyond 95%. Thus, the proposed integrated roof coating and solar PV model for optimizing energy consumption is reliable.

Keywords: Financial savings, roof coating, solar photovoltaic module, Energy consumption, field test, heat transfer.

1. INTRODUCTION

As long as mankind has been around there have been a need for shelter and protection from sunlight, rain and wind [1]. Throughout history, roofs have been made from available resources. In the past, the early people used roof thatching for their houses and that tradition runs down to this generation. These early shelters provided good resistance against rain and sunlight which has great effect reducing heat in rooms [2].

In this modern era, this tradition has been reduced greatly due to the adoption of aluminum sheet roofing, slate roofing, metal roofing, shingle roofing etc., which mostly culminates to heat absorption and increased electricity energy demand that results in high energy consumption [3]. "Heat gains and heat losses through building surfaces are the main factors that determine the building's cooling and heating loads. One of the effective ways to reduce heat absorption in a room is by applying white roof" [4, 5]. Research indicates that on sunny day, the black roofs absorb the light while white roofs reflect it back into the atmosphere [6]. White roof can help to reduce building temperature, saving huge amount of energy and money spent on air conditioning [7]. It is a common practice in warmer part of the world and would be in existence for as long as there is white wash, but it is not too common in Ghana. This has led to high demand of electricity and tariffs on common Ghanaian household as a result of buying electrical gadget to extinguish the heat accumulated in a room [8]. The role of low sunlight reflectivity in abnormally higher electrical energy consumption has been established in research, where projected energy consumption rose to 1167 kWh per year [9]. Because reflectivity factor can reduce surface roof temperature and building cooling loads, it aids in reducing radiative heat flux to the atmosphere. Another property of the roof surface that must be considered is thermal emissivity, which affects the heating and cooling energy use of buildings. Emissivity is a positive correlate of radiative heat transfer from the roof to

38 the sky [10], indicating that roofs with high emissivity are desirable in areas with high
39 sun/heat intensity. Hence, a combination of both reflectance and emissivity are useful in
40 reducing roofing temperature [11].

41 Africa is particularly noted for its world sunshine records. Ghana in the sub-Saharan also
42 experience higher amount of sunlight which requires more units of electrical energy to
43 reduce temperatures absorbed into rooms by buildings. This creates a high demand for
44 electrical energy to compete with the demand of the same for industrial use. The frequent
45 power fluctuation or outages (dumsor) makes the demand for electrical energy for both
46 domestic and industrial purposed unsatisfied leading to domestic accidents, deaths and low
47 industry productivity. Nonetheless, the heat produced is itself useful for the production of
48 electrical energy to fight these high temperatures in buildings. There is an average of 2377
49 hours of sunlight per year with an average of 6:30am to 6:10 pm of sunlight per day,
50 indicating that solar photovoltaic modules can work effectively to generate electricity for the
51 people of Ghana. Unfortunately, thermal production constitutes the major source of electrical
52 energy in Ghana, with the traditional hydro-electric constituting only about 30%. The thermal
53 sources are dominantly powered by fossil fuels which have devastating implications on
54 environmental safety and sustainability [12] as well as higher electric energy production cost
55 and its far-reaching impact on electricity tariffs [13]. In addition to the recent ubiquitous trend
56 to save energy through smart homes [14], the global crusade to embrace green environment
57 and circular economy practices [12, 15] as part of the sustainable development goal is
58 gaining popularity. There is therefore, the need to adopt a an integrated renewable or a
59 sustainable source of energy country-wide in Ghana. The favourable performances of
60 coated roofs and solar energy on electric energy consumption has been overemphasized,
61 which suggests that their integrated effects will outperform their separate performances.
62 However, the said integrated role of coated roofs and solar PV module has not been
63 covered in the literature. Therefore, we propose in this paper, a combination of coated roofs
64 and solar PV system. The objective is to numerically assess the impact of an integrated roof
65 coating and Solar PV System in the tropical region of Ghana. This will help to evaluate the
66 capability of the proposed system in reducing the energy consumption as well as maintain
67 sustainable energy and environment. The paper derives its contributing to knowledge by
68 integrating the solar PV system into the roof coating of buildings. The PV system also known
69 as solar power system, is an electric power system designed to supply usable solar power
70 by means of photovoltaics. Solar is a renewable power source of energy which supports the
71 'green' ideology to sustainability in terms of environmental safety and tariff reduction.
72 Coated roofs also have higher reflectivity and thermal emissivity. Thus, the propose system
73 serve more than one purpose, by integrating the roles of both coated roofs and solar PV
74 module. This system functions whether rainy or shinny thus reducing its limitations.

75


76 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

77

78 Generally, in assessing the performance of the proposed integrated system of coated roofs
79 and solar PV system, the buildings at Takoradi Technical University of Ghana were used to
80 describe heat transfer associated with the roofs considered. A comparison between
81 numerical results and field data was implemented. The energy budget of the building was
82 inspected. It is estimated to spread the existing knowledge of effective exploitation of the
83 solar energy and to deliver a reference for the joint application of roof coating and PV
84 modules.

85 **2.1 Performance Analysis and Strategy**

86 “The building used in this study is located at Takoradi (Anaji) with accessible roof.
 87 Experiment was carried out from July to August, 2022. Several 260W polycrystalline PV
 88 panels were installed on the rooftop in forms of flat and tilted overhead, with 20cm of the
 89 height between flat PV panels, and roof with 30° south inclination angle of tilted overhead
 90 PV array” [16]. “PVSOL was used to describe heat transfer associated with the roofs
 91 considered. Both coated roof with solar PV were investigated and temperature distributions
 92 over the roofs were analyzed. The temperatures of the measuring points were measured by
 93 four channel CENTER309 thermocouple and the Button DS1922L” [9]. “Wind speed and
 94 solar radiation were measured by the solar power generation test recorder. Schematic
 95 diagram of experimental apparatus and distribution of measuring points is shown in Figure
 96 1” [17].



97

98

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the experimental apparatus

99

2.2 Theoretical Modelling Framework

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109


“Roof solar PV system are electricity generated distribution options which help to meet a buildings energy need, or provide electricity within an existing distribution network. This can be used to meet the building own energy consumption requirement or in certain situations, fed back into electrical grid” [18]. “The orientation of the PV panel depends on the type of the roof. Actually, proper installation of the solar panel can avoid excessive wear on the roof caused by weather-related factors” [19]. “Furthermore, for the fixing of solar panels on the roof, the angle of the roof should be considered, and the roof angles close to the latitude of the site are expected. In addition, as the roof faces south to the greatest extent, adequate amount of sunlight will be captured. The dimensions of the roofs of the two-building considered are shown in Figure 2 with roof parameters listed in Table 1” [20].

110

111

112

113



114
115
116

Fig. 2. Schematic view of the roof structure

Table 1. Roof dimension

Description	Coated roof	Uncoated roof
Length	84.2m	46.3m
Width	9.2m	9.2m
Height	1.2m	1.2m
Angle	60 ⁰	60 ⁰


117

118 **2.3 Theoretical Assumption**

119 "A roof exposed to the solar radiation is the center of three modes of heat transfer:
120 conduction, convection, and radiation. When there is heat between two bodies a
121 temperature gradient, heat travels from the hotter to the colder; thus, the difference tends to
122 resolve spontaneously" [21]. "Essentially transfers between two bodies implement three
123 distinct processes, simultaneous or not: conduction, convection, and radiation. The heat
124 absorption and reflection are encountered as the solar radiation reaches the surface of the
125 roof. However, some parts of the heat are reflected while others are absorbed by the
126 building. The cool roof, roof insulation, and the radiation barrier are basic strategies in
127 curbing heat transfer in the building industry13" [22].

128 "Furthermore, the heats transfer mechanisms (convection and radiation) occurring in
129 building's roof are shown schematically in Figure 3. In any given house, it can be assumed
130 that the size of the roof is sufficiently large to have a one-dimensional net heat flow. With
131 this assumption, the net heat flow that crosses the external surface of the roof is the same
132 conductive heat flow that goes through the concrete slab, and it is the same heat flow
133 between the slab and the air inside the house. The magnitudes of the heat flow by
134 convection and by radiation are difficult (but not impossible) to measure" [23]. "However,
135 heat conduction through the concrete slab can be easily calculated if the temperatures of
136 the exterior and the interior surfaces, along with the thermal conductivity and the thickness of
137 the concrete slab are known. This study defines T_e as the external air temperature, T_i as
138 the internal air temperature, T_{se} as the external surface temperature of the probe, and T_{si}
139 as the internal surface temperature of the probe, h_e and h_i correspond to the convection
140 heat transfer coefficients that occur in the exterior and interior sides of the probe" (Figure
141 3) [18].

142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154



155
156

Fig. 3 Heat transfer mechanism in building roof

157 **2.4 Software Simulation and Result Analysis**

158 PVSOL software was utilized for the simulation purpose. The parameters taken for
159 simulation are tabulated in Table 2. By utilizing these parameters, rigorous simulation is
160 carried out and a monthly profile is obtained from the software. However, the software
161 showed quite a promising result in terms of energy production on coated roof and PV which
162 is depicted in Figure 4. The annual yield was 82,076 kWh, 83,038 kWh, and 80,401 kWh in
163 PVSOL, respectively. It is observed that maximum yield was obtained during March due to
164 high global horizontal irradiation. However, the lowest yield was during June when the
165 irradiation was low due to cloudy sky and rain.

166
167

Table 2. Simulation parameters

Parameters	Panel rating	No. of panels	Total generator output	Tilt	Azimuth	No. of inverters	Mounting surface
Values	340 W	174	59.16 kW	24 °	180 °	11	2 m

168
169
170

171

Financial Analysis


Internal Rate of Return (IRR) 5.79%
 Total Payment from Utility 3,246.54 \$/Year
 Accrued Cash Flow (Cash Balance) 21,555.59 \$

Tech. Quality of the PV System

PV Generator Energy (AC grid) 28,028 kwh/Year
 Spec. Annual Yield 1,111.75 kWh/kWp
 Performance Ratio (PR) 62.9 %

System integraton

Energy from Grid 12 kwh/Year Grid Feed-in 28,028 kwh/Year



172
173
174

Fig. 4. Monthly PV energy generated profile using PVSOL software


2.4.1 Model of a BIPV house

The house considered for this model has an area of 94.4 m². The dimensions of the house were length: 11.7 m, width: 7.2 m, and height: 7.3 m. Table 3 lists the overall heat-transfer coefficients for the building envelope. The entire house was defined as one thermal area, that is, one air-conditioned area conditioning was on. Figure 5 shows the BIPV house model without coating.

Table 3. Overall heat-transfer coefficients for the BIPV house

Structure	Main materials (from outside to inside)	Overall heat-transfer coefficient <i>K</i> (W/(m ² ·K))
Exterior walls	Concrete panel Fiberglass insulation Dry wall.	0.8
Roof	Concrete panel Plastic benzoic (XPS) board Dry wall	0.5
Floor	Wooden floor Fiberglass insulation Wooden floor	1.0
Windows	6 clear + 12 argon (Ar) + 6 Low-EGlass-fiber reinforced polyurethane (GRPU) door and window profile	2.3
Door	Solid wood	2.0

181



183

184 **Fig. 5. Model of the BIPV house [18]**


185 **2.4.2 Building without PV Panels**

186 **Building not exposed to sunlight**

187 For simplicity, the house with PV panels is referred to as the “BIPV house,” and the house
 188 without PV panels is referred to as the “regular house.” Figure 6 shows the temperature
 189 measurement points on the south-facing roof of the regular house when it was not exposed
 190 to sunlight [20].

191

192



195

196

197 **Fig. 6. Simulated inner and outer surface temperatures for the regular house not**
 198 **exposed to sunlight [25]**

199

200

201


202

203

204

205 **Building exposed to sunlight**

206 Figure 7 shows the simulation results. When the regular house was exposed to sunlight (The
207 outer surface temperature of the south-facing gable roof (measurement point 1 in Figure 7
208 (a) was always higher than the outdoor dry-bulb temperature (measurement point 3 in
209 Figure 7 (b) shows that the outer surface temperature (measurement point 1 in Figure 7)
210 was considerably higher than the outdoor dry-bulb temperature (measurement point 3 in
211 Figure 7) when the south-facing gable roof of the regular house was exposed to solar
212 radiation during the daytime. However, the outer surface temperature was lower than the
213 outdoor dry-bulb temperature during the night. The simulated results were in agreement with
214 the actual situations. PV sole module was used for the design.



215
216

217 **Fig. 7. Simulated inner and outer surface temperatures for the regular house exposed**
218 **to sunlight.**

219 **2.5 Performance Effect of Solar PV**

220 “The Installation of the solar PV system on roof top might offer more benefits to buildings.
221 Meanwhile, some roof area is shaded by the solar PV system components and the
222 temperature input to the roof surface can be further decreased. More importantly, a power
223 source is thereby provided to reduce the energy consumption in the building. It was reported
224 that the output electricity was dependent on the strategies of utilizing the solar radiation” [6].

225 **2.6 Mathematical Model Formulation**

226 For roof added PV module, TZ (τ) could be represented as

227
$$TZ_{PV}(\tau) = T_a(\tau) + \frac{a_t I_{SD}(\tau)}{h_t(\tau)} \quad (1)$$

228 Because of coating effect, only part of diffuse radiation projects on roof, which is obtained by
 229 $I_{SDI} = I_{Dt} \times VF$, where view factors $VF = [\cos B\tau - \cos(Bp + Br)]/2$. The radiation
 230 heat exchange between PV back sheet and roof cannot be ignored, which is represented by
 231 $Q_{bt} = \varepsilon_{br} \sigma (Tb^2 - T\tau_{PV})$, where $\varepsilon_{br} = 1/(1/\varepsilon b + 1/X_{br} - 2)$ and
 232 $X_{br} = 1 - \sin Bp/2 - \sin(Bp/2)$.

233 According to electrical performance model described by [19] at SNL, empirical relationships
 234 with coefficients of the temperature on the rear surface of the panel was predicted as

235
$$T_b(\tau) = T_a + I_T \times \exp(a + BV) \quad (2)$$

236 where empirical coefficient a, b, were assigned to -3.562 and -0.0786 respectively. It was
 237 assumed that the heat transfer through the roof is one-dimensional unsteady heat-
 238 conduction. The indoor space was characterized by a specified internal temperature T_i .
 239 "Through COMSOL solving, temperature of roof with and without PV could be obtained. For
 240 performing design cooling load calculation, radiant time series method was used. Periodic
 241 response factors (PRF) instead of conduction transfer function was conducted to calculate
 242 conduction heat gains. Then all heat gains were split into radiative and convective portions,
 243 for roof, which account for 0.84 and 0.16 respectively" [6]. Once PRFs and sol-air
 244 temperatures, were known, hourly conduction heat gains $q\theta$ and the cooling and heating
 245 load Q_θ of the roof could be directly calculated, which were shown as illustrated below.

246
$$q_\theta = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{23} Y_j' z\theta - t_i \sum_{j=0}^{23} Y_j \right) \quad (3)$$

247
$$Q_\theta = Q_{\tau\theta} + Q_{c\theta} = 0.84 \sum_{j=0}^{23} r_j [(q\theta - j\Delta r)] + 0.16q\theta \quad (4)$$

248 there Y_j is periodic response coefficient for 24 hours $tz\theta - j\Delta r$ stands for sol-air
 249 temperature j hours ago; the value of time interval $\Delta\tau$ was set to 1h; $r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_{23}$ are
 250 radiant time factors and $q\theta - j\Delta r$ stands for conduction heat gains j hours ago. Other
 251 parameter which can influence cooling load are specified. Radiant time factors were
 252 achieved from the PRF-RTF Generator [26].

253 2.6.1 Integrated energy efficiency model

254 "Integrated contribution of PV roof was divided into two parts: coating benefit and power
 255 generation benefit. To study coating benefit was to determine the percentage reduction of
 256 heating or cooling load through roof between PV covered roof ($Q_{n\theta}$) and exposed roof
 257 ($Q_{PV\theta}$). The power output from PV module was converted into a heating or cooling energy
 258 according to a certain COP, which value is 5.5 for conventional air-conditioning system" [16].
 259 In order to discuss the integrated energy-saving effect, facilitating to compare with
 260 conventional roof and instruct air-conditioning system design, operation and maintenance,
 261 system comprehensive energy efficiency model is

262

$$\eta = \frac{Q_i + EPV}{I_t} = \frac{\sum_{\theta=0}^{23} (Q\theta - QPV\theta) + \sum_{\theta=0}^{23} PV\theta \times COP}{I_t} \quad (5)$$

263

2.7 Energy Balance of a Roofing System

264


265

266

267

268

Figure 8 shows energy balance of a roofing system that describe how solar radiation and reflection affect the roof of a building. Sun radiation is partially reflected back to the atmosphere while the rest is absorbed by the roof and other parts of the building. Roof material and coating determine to a large extent the absorption rate of the roof and both the coated and uncoated roofs were made of aluminum [18].



269

270

271

272

273

274

Fig. 8. Energy balance of a roofing system

275

3.1 Effect of Coating on the Temperature of Roof's Exterior Surface

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284


285

"Temperatures of roof's exterior surfaces in different forms of Building Applied Photovoltaics (BAPV) are shown in Figure 9 (b). In the daytime, due to shading, the surface temperatures of roof under PV were lower than that of exposed roof, especially tilted overhead PV roof, for the reason that the roof under the solar panels is heated by longwave radiation from the panel underside and diffuse radiation from the sky (which is small given the small tilt angle), the sum of which is less than the solar irradiance to the exposed roof". [27]. "At night, roof without PV will be cooled through longwave radiation. Installing PV module can play an insulation role in the roof under that. Therefore, the surface temperatures of roof under PV were higher than that of conventional roof, which was obvious to flat overhead PV roof as established in" [18]. PV sole was used to designed this model.

286

287

288



289


290

291 Fig. 9. (a) Temperature under coated with solar PV module (b) Temperature under
292 coated roofing (c) Temperature under Uncoated roofing system.

293 **3.2 Effect of Coating on the Cooling and Heating Load through Roofs**


294 “The effect of PV module coating on the cooling and heating load through roofs is shown in
295 Figure 10, with measuring line. Comparing with conventional roof, heat gain and cooling
296 load of PV roof were greatly attenuated while heating load increasing slightly. Fluctuation
297 amplitude was relatively smooth”[10]. “Specifically, the peak value of heat gain through flat
298 and tilted overhead PV roof were reduced by 67.1% and 59.0%, respectively. Among the
299 three, the peak cooling load and the total daily load of the flat overhead PV roof were
300 decreased by 72.2% and 77.4% respectively while that of the tilted overhead PV roof were
301 reduced by 61.5% and 69.4%, respectively”. [31] Added photovoltaic panels also changes
302 the thermal storage capacity of the roof as shown in [10]. The peak value of cooling load of
303 the PV roof was delayed by 2 hours while the peak value of heating load was delayed by
304 about 1 hour.

305



306 Fig. 10. Comparisons of heat gain and cooling and heating load through roofs (A – flat
307 overhead, B – tilted overhead, C – conventional roof) [28]


308 Irradiance on horizontal plane compare with tilted open area (Figure 11).
 309 Parameter to be considered when designing and utilizing photovoltaic system is to consider
 310 the solar irradiance. Consequently, the commonest input parameters of solar photovoltaic
 311 system are the solar irradiance, the wind speed and the ambient environment temperature.
 312 Normally before installing a PV module, both horizontal plane and the tilted plane should be
 313 considered in order to get the maximum potential solar energy. The simulated result below
 314 shows that, the irradiance on horizontal plane has a high energy production as compare to
 315 the irradiance on a tilted open area.



316
 317 **Fig. 11. Comparison of irradiance on horizontal plane to tilted surface**

318 **3.2 Economics and Financial Impact of Roof Coating**

319 Many roof coatings are “cool,” meaning they reflect solar energy instead of absorbing it. As
 320 cited in [9], the end result of roof coating are as follows: reduced energy bills by decreasing
 321 air conditioning needs, improved indoor comfort for spaces that are not conditioned,
 322 decreased roof temperature, which may extend roof service life, solar-reflective roofs can
 323 reduce cooling energy demand by 10-40%.” From Figure 12, the results clearly show that
 324 Roof coatings applied in a building could help in reducing energy consumption for cooling
 325 (kWh) and peak demand (kW) in individual residence.



327 **Fig. 12. Simulated peak demand (kw) for variations of the building at Takoradi (Anaji)**

328 The table 4 and 5 refer to the financial comparison of building in Anaji's previous bill to
 329 current bill when solar PV was installed and the energy produced monthly.

330 **Table 4. Monthly data accumulated**

Month	Previous Bill with Uncoated Roof (GH¢)	Current Bill with Coated Roof (GH¢)	Current Bill with Integrated Coated Roof and PV (GH¢)
January	860.00	455.00	396.20
February	790.00	427.00	407.30
March	940.00	549.00	481.20
April	820.00	383.00	334.70
May	800.00	329.00	311.00
June	680.00	421.00	383.70
July	720.00	419.00	382.10
August	790.00	557.00	528.50
September	810.00	476.00	464.70
October	840.00	686.00	593.70
November	830.00	542.00	514.40
December	870.00	476.00	454.00

331

332

333

Table 5. Monthly energy accumulated

Month	Energy Produce (kW) with coated roof	Energy Produce (kW) with Integrated Coated and PV	Energy Produce (kW) Previously with Uncoated roof
January	46.5	40.5	87.9
February	43.5	41.5	80.5
March	52.7	46.2	90.1
April	39.7	34.7	62.4
May	37	35.0	90.1
June	33.9	30.9	54.7
July	34.1	31.1	58.5
August	37.2	37.2	52.7
September	42.4	41.4	72.1
October	37.2	32.2	45.2
November	39.3	37.3	60.2
December	43.4	41.4	78.5

334

335 The different form of PV roofs, the deviations between air layer temperatures and ambient
 336 air temperature were less than 10%. Therefore, model hypothesis was basically proved. By
 337 solving the established model using PVSOL, temperatures of coated roofs with and without
 338 PV were obtained. The calculated values well agreed with the experimental data. From the
 339 calculation, the mean relative errors (MRE) were all below 10%; and the accuracy of Power-
 340 Added Efficiency (PAE) were all beyond 95% throughout the measuring period. On account
 341 of this, the proposed thermal model of PV roofs can be considered reliable. In terms of
 342 electricity bills and energy consumption, mean average percentage errors (MAPE) as applied in

343 [15, 29, 30] was used as performance metric. For the electricity bills, the MAPE for coated roof
344 with and without PV are approximately 46.07% and 41.31% respectively. The values imply that
345 on average, the electricity bills for coated roof with PV deviates from the bills of uncoated roofs
346 by approximately 46%, while the bills for the coated roofs without PV deviates from that of the
347 uncoated roofs by 41% approximately. Thus, for the coated roofs with PV, monthly electricity
348 bills are reduced by 46% (GH¢ 340.21), and a monthly reduction by 41% (GH¢ 303) in the case
349 of the coated roofs without PV. The energy consumption was associated with a MAPE of about
350 47.26% for coated roofs with PV, and a MAPE of 44.71% for coated roofs without PV, indicating
351 that the coated roofs with PV saves energy by approximately 47% (28.86 kW) on average while
352 on average, the coated roof without PV achieves energy savings by 45% (25.91 kW)
353 approximately. The proposed integrated coated roof with PV module outperforms the coated
354 roof without PV module by approximately 5% in terms of electricity bills and 2% in respect of
355 energy consumption.
356

357 **4. CONCLUSION**

358 The impact of roof coating and solar photovoltaic was performed based on one particular
359 building at in the Anaji area of Takoradi. Coating and photovoltaics are two key benefits of
360 roof added photovoltaics. Analyzing integrated contributions of the two has a vital
361 significance for the prediction of building consumption. In this paper, a comparison of the
362 performances of coated roofs with and without solar PV module was made. The roof
363 surfaces underneath the PV panels, especially under tilted PV panel, were coated,
364 therefore, the temperature was significantly lower than that of the exposed roof in the
365 daytime. At night, the roof surfaces under the solar panels remained warmer, due to the
366 reduction in radiative cooling to sky, especially for flat overhead PV roof. Heat gain and
367 cooling load of roofs under PV panels were attenuated significantly while heating load
368 increasing slightly with a smoother fluctuation. Added PV panels also changes the thermal
369 storage capacity of the roof. Considering total benefits of coating and power generation,
370 system integrated energy efficiency for flat and tilted overhead PV roofs were 63.35 % and
371 62.73 %, respectively. The monthly energy savings associated with coated roofs with solar PV
372 module is 28.86 kW or a monthly savings of GH¢ 340.21 (GH¢ 4, 082.52 annually) on
373 electricity bill; while the monthly energy savings for coated roofs without PV is 25.91 kW or a
374 monthly savings of GH¢ 303 (GH¢ 3,636.00) on electricity bill. Thus, overall, the proposed
375 integrated coated roof with PV module outperforms the coated roof without PV module by
376 approximately 5% in terms of electricity bills and 2% in respect of energy consumption.
377 Reliability of the proposed integrated coated roofs with solar PV system was assessed. Inferring
378 from the computations, the mean relative errors (MRE) were all less than 10%. In addition,
379 the accuracies measured by the Power-Added Efficiency (PAE) were all above 95%
380 throughout the measuring period. Based on these values of MRE and PAE, the reliability of
381 the proposed thermal model of coated roofs integrated with PV modules has been
382 established. This integrated coated roofs with PV module therefore, outperforms existing
383 coated roofs without PV systems. This plays a twin role as a sustainable and cost-
384 effective/efficient source of energy; and as a key strategy to achieving sustainable
385 environment through the principle of greening.
386

387 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

388 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist
389

390 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

391
392 Authors WP, WA and SAM conceived the idea, designed the study, conducted literature
393 review, handled the methodology and data gathering. Author JAA managed the validation of
394
395

396 the model, and performed the analysis. Authors WA and JAA performed editing activities
397 and wrote the first and final drafts of the manuscript. All authors read the final state of the
398 manuscript before submitting to the journal.

399

400 **References**

401

402 [1] Keh-Chin, et al. Investigation of sheltering Effect on Global Solar Radiation Data
403 Measured. E3S Web Conference. 2019; 93:02002.

404 [2] Allegrini J et al. Sheltering analysis in semi-outdoor environment. Roofing
405 performance simulation. 2018; 11(4):1-18.

406 [3] Hasanuzzaman, M., Islam, M. A., Rahim, N. A., & Yanping, Y. (2020). Energy demand.
407 In *Energy for sustainable development* (pp. 41-87). Academic Press.

408 [4] Luis D. Atmospheric and climate factor affecting heat absorption in by applying
409 white roofing. 2020; 11(7):736.

410

411 [5] Zhang Y et al. Solar radiation reflective coating material on envelope
412 buildings: heat transfer analysis and cooling of energy. 2017.

413

414 [6] Santamouris M. Passive cooling of buildings. In *Advances in Solar Energy: 2017; 16:*
415 *295-344* Routledge.

416

417 [7] Cheng, Yang, Kubota, Berry et al. Electricity production and cooling energy savings
418 from installation of a building-integrated photovoltaic roof on an office building.
419 *Energy & Buildings*. 2013, 56(56):210-220.

420

421 [8] Sheng Zhang, Cheng Yong, Chao Huan. Optimization of room air temperature
422 in stratum-ventilated rooms for thermal comfort and energy saving. *Applied energy*.
423 2017; 204:420-431.

424

425 [9] Sena B. et al. Determinant Factors of Electricity Consumption for a
426 Malaysian Household Based on Field Survey. *Sustainability*. 2021 221(13):818.

427

428 [10] Garshasbi S, Santamouris M. Using advanced thermochromic technologies in the built
429 environment: Recent development and potential to decrease the energy consumption
430 and fight urban overheating. *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells*. 2019; 191:21-32.

431

432 [11] Chen X, Wang L, Liu Z, Qin Y and Bao T. Lowering Emissivity of Concrete Roof Tile's
433 Underside Cuts Down Heat Entry to the building. 2019.

434

435 [12] Wiah EN, Addor JA, Alao FI. Transitional probability for plastic waste management and
436 implication on sustainability. *Sustainable environment*. 8(1): 2118654.

437

438 [13] Scherba, Meral D. On the effect of roof added photovoltaics on building's energy
439 demand. *Energy & Buildings*. 2015;169(8):1810– 1823.

440

441 [14] Adjardjah W., Addor, JA., Otchere P. Opare W. Design and Construction of Voice
442 Controlled Smart Power Strip. *American Academic Scientific Research Journal for*
443 *Engineering, Technology, and Sciences*.2023; 94(1): 24-41.

444

445 [15] Addor JA, Wiah EN, Alao, FI. Mathematical Model for the Cyclical Dynamics of Plastic
446 Waste Management: A Two-state closed model. *Journal of Materials Science Research*
447 *and Reviews*. 2022; 9(2):15-36,

448

- 449 [16] Shen, Karamanis and Burnett et al. Effectiveness of high reflective roofs in minimizing
450 energy consumption in residential buildings in Iraq. *Procedia Engineering*. 2015; 118:
451 879–885.
452
- 453 [17] Kapsalis VC, Vardoulakis E, Karamanis D. Simulation of the cooling effect of the roof-
454 added photovoltaic panels. *Advances in Building Energy Research*. 2014; 8(1), 41-54.
455
- 456 [18] Wang Y, Wang D, Liu Y. Study on comprehensive energy-saving of shading
457 and photovoltaics of roof added PV module. *Energy Procedia*. 2017; 132: 598-603.
458
- 459 [19] Quansah DA, Adaramola MS, Appiah GK, et al. Performance analysis of
460 different grid connected solar photovoltaic (PV) system technologies with combined
461 capacity of 20 kW located in humid tropical climate. *International Journal of*
462 *Hydrogen Energy*. 2017; 42(7): 4626.
463
- 464 [20] Klise and Talbert. New generalized expressions for forced convective heat
465 transfer coefficients at building facades and roofs. *Building and Environment*. 2017;
466 119: 153–168.
467
- 468 [21] Li DHW, Chow SKH, Lee EWM. An analysis of a medium size grid-connected building
469 integrated photovoltaic (BIPV) system using measured data. *Energy and Buildings*.
470 2013; 60: 383-387.
471
- 472 [22] Chan ALS, Chow TT. Evaluation of Overall Thermal Transfer Value (OTTV) for
473 commercial buildings constructed with green roof. *Applied energy*. 2013; 107: 10-24.
474
- 475 [23] Liu Y, Zhao J, Li Z, Mu C, Ma W, Hu H, Yan H.. Aggregation and morphology control
476 enables multiple cases of high-efficiency polymer solar cells. *Nature communications*.
477 2014; 5(1): 5293.
478
- 479 [24] Oleson KW, Bonan GB, Feddema J. Effects of white roofs on urban temperature in a
480 global climate model. *Geophysical Research Letters*. 2010; 37(3).
481
- 482 [25] Toledo C, López-Vicente R, Abad J, Urbina A. Thermal performance of PV modules as
483 building elements: Analysis under real operating conditions of different
484 technologies. *Energy and Buildings*. 2020; 223: 110087.
485
- 486 [26] Dobрева P, van Dyk, EE, Vorster FJ. New approach to evaluating predictive models of
487 photovoltaic systems. *Solar Energy*. 2020; 204: 134-143.
488
- 489 [27] Liu, Tong and Nguyen VL. A new design of metal-sheet cool roof using PCM.
490 *Energy and Buildings*. 2013; 57: 42–50.
491
- 492 [28] Maghrabie H M, Abdelkareem MA, Al-Alami AH, Ramadan M, Mushtaha E, Wilberforce
493 T, Olabi AG.). State-of-the-art technologies for building-integrated photovoltaic
494 systems. *Buildings*. 2021; 11(9): 383.
495
- 496 [29] Adotey EK, Addor JA, Mensah SL. A Logistic Differential Equation Model Rendition of
497 Customers' Consumption of Electrical Energy. *Asian Research Journal of Mathematics*.
498 2016; 1(5): 1-15.
499

- 500 [30] Addor JA, Wiah EN, Alao FI. An Improved Two-states Cyclical Dynamic Model for
501 Plastic Waste Management. Asian Research Journal of Mathematics. 2022; 18(5): 52-
502 68.
- 503 [31] Wang Y, Wang D, Liu Y. Study on comprehensive energy-saving of shading and
504 photovoltaics of roof added PV module. Energy Procedia. 2017 Oct 1;132:598-603.
505
506