

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_106930
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Roof Coating and Solar PV System in the Tropical Region of Ghana
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? Yes</p> <p>If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Please proofread the paper carefully, as there are several typographical and/or grammatical errors.</p> <p>2. Abstract is too long. Please follow the word limitations (100-200 words). The abstract must contain: backgrounds (if any, maximum 2-3 sentences), short clear objectives, short methods, final results or findings, and conclusion.</p> <p>3. Please add error bars at all the Figures on all the curves to show the variation.</p> <p>4. The results for all Tables and Figures required more explanations, discussions and comparison with other studies is required.</p> <p>5. Please indicate your results with other researchers that have the same behaviour in order to support your findings.</p> <p>6. Compare the results for a previous studies to make your work more acceptable.</p> <p>7. Conclusions should only answer the objectives of the research. Tells how your work advances the field from the present state of knowledge. Without clear Conclusions, reviewers and readers will find it difficult to judge the work, and whether or not it merits publication in the journal. Provide a clear scientific justification for your work, and indicate possible applications and extensions</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nabil Majd Alawi
Department, University & Country	University of Technology, Iraq